Modern Family Dynamics; How Millennials and Gen Z Are Shaping New Relationship Norms

Rabia Hameed¹, Tayyeba Ahmad², Saira Kanwal³ & Hassan Imran⁴

¹BS Psychology, Department of Psychology, National University of Modern Languages Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan, Email: rhameed561@gmail.com

²Lecturer, Department of Psychology, National University of Modern Languages Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan, Email: tayyeba.ahmad@numl.edu.pk

³MPhil Chemistry, Agricultural University, Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan, Email: Sairakanwal6789@gmail.com

⁴PhD Scholar, Department of Psychology, Riphah International University Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan, Email: hassanimran332@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	This study aimed to examine the evolving family dynamics and relationship norms among Millennials and Gen Z, focusing on how digital communication, economic factors, and shifting societal values influenced these changes. Existing research, including studies by Twenge et al. (2021) and the Pew Research Center (2023), indicated a decline in traditional family structures and a rise in flexible living arrangements. Using a mixed-methods approach, data were collected from Millennials and Gen Z participants through surveys and interviews, and analyzed using statistical and thematic analysis. Findings revealed that Millennials largely adhered to nuclear family structures and traditional parenting roles, whereas Gen Z preferred multigenerational households, cohabitation, and non-traditional parenting. Digital communication played a critical role in maintaining family relationships, and work-life balance was prioritized by Gen Z. The study contributed to family sociology and psychology by emphasizing the growing influence of technology on relationship norms. Policy recommendations included adjustments to workplace flexibility, urban housing policies, and parental leave structures. However, the study was limited by social desirability bias and the lack of longitudinal data, restricting its ability to track long-term generational shifts. Future research should explore these trends over extended periods and incorporate diverse cultural contexts to understand global variations in modern family dynamics. This study provided theoretical and practical insights into the evolving nature of family relationships, highlighting the need for institutional adaptation to accommodate changing generational expectations.

Introduction

Family structures and relationship norms have continuously evolved across generations, influenced by cultural, economic, technological, and social factors (Cherlin, 2016; Pew Research Center, 2023). In the 21st century, two major generational cohorts—Millennials (born between 1981 and 1996) and Generation Z (born between 1997 and 2012)—have played a pivotal role in redefining traditional family dynamics (Twenge et al., 2021). These younger generations have reshaped how relationships are formed, maintained, and perceived by society. Unlike their predecessors, who followed conventional paths of early marriage, gender-defined roles, and nuclear family living, Millennials and Gen Z embrace flexibility, inclusivity, and digital communication in their relationships (Bauman, 2013). As a result, traditional marriage and parenting models are giving way to cohabitation, chosen families, same-sex parenting, blended families, and delayed childbearing (Cherlin, 2016; Pew Research Center, 2023). These generational shifts are driven by financial challenges, increased educational opportunities, workplace demands, and evolving cultural attitudes toward gender roles and self-fulfillment (Twenge et al., 2021). This study explores how these evolving perspectives influence modern relationships, shedding light on their long-term implications for society, mental health, and policymaking (Bauman, 2013).

The study of modern family dynamics is crucial for understanding larger social transformations and their impact on individuals, communities, and institutions (Cherlin, 2016). As Millennials and Gen Z form the majority of the global workforce and consumer base, their relationship values and preferences influence industries, housing markets, and policy decisions (Twenge et al., 2021). Additionally, this shift affects psychological well-being, family stability, child-rearing practices, and intergenerational relationships (Pew Research Center, 2023). From a psychological perspective, relationship satisfaction and family stability are linked to mental health, emotional resilience, and overall life satisfaction (American Psychological Association, 2022). Understanding how Millennials and Gen Z approach relationships provides valuable insights into their priorities, expectations, and struggles, contributing to the broader discourse on love, partnership, and family in modern society (Bauman, 2013).

Furthermore, by understanding these evolving dynamics, psychologists, social scientists, and policymakers can develop better interventions, support systems, and legislation that align with contemporary relationship realities (Cherlin, 2016). Several key societal trends highlight the significance of this study. The increasing preference for cohabitation over traditional marriage, rising divorce rates, and the acceptance of non-traditional family structures reflect broader cultural and economic changes (Twenge et al., 2021). Economic instability, student loan debt, and job insecurity have caused Millennials and Gen Z to delay major life milestones, including marriage and homeownership (Pew Research Center, 2023). Additionally, changing workplace norms—such as remote work, the gig economy, and flexible work schedules—are reshaping how relationships function in daily life (American Psychological Association, 2022).

From a technological standpoint, the rise of online dating apps and social media has revolutionized how people meet, interact, and sustain relationships (Twenge et al., 2021). Unlike previous generations, Millennials and Gen Z rely heavily on digital communication to navigate romantic and familial bonds (Pew Research Center, 2023). Moreover, mental health awareness has become a central theme in modern relationships, with younger generations prioritizing emotional intelligence, therapy, and open communication (American Psychological Association, 2022). Understanding these trends is essential for grasping the broader implications of relationship

evolution in the digital age (Bauman, 2013). Historically, family structures were deeply rooted in cultural, religious, and economic necessities (Cherlin, 2016). In many societies, marriage was seen as a social contract essential for economic survival, inheritance, and social status (Bauman, 2013). The traditional nuclear family model—consisting of a married couple with children—became dominant in the mid-20th century, particularly in Western societies (Pew Research Center, 2023). However, as gender roles evolved, women gained financial independence, and education levels rose, leading to changes in marital and parental expectations (Twenge et al., 2021).

The late 20th century witnessed significant societal shifts, including increased divorce rates, dual-income households, and the growing acceptance of LGBTQ+ relationships (Cherlin, 2016). The feminist movement, reproductive rights advancements, and the digital revolution further contributed to the redefinition of family structures (Bauman, 2013). These historical changes set the foundation for Millennials and Gen Z to challenge traditional norms and embrace a more fluid, personalized approach to relationships (Pew Research Center, 2023). Various psychological and sociological theories offer insights into how Millennials and Gen Z navigate relationships and family life:Attachment Theory: Developed by Bowlby (1969), this theory suggests that early childhood attachment styles influence adult relationships. Millennials and Gen Z, raised in diverse family settings, exhibit a broad range of attachment styles that impact their approach to romantic and familial bonds (Twenge et al., 2021). Social exchange posits that individuals evaluate relationships based on perceived costs and benefits. Younger generations, emphasizing financial independence and emotional well-being, approach relationships pragmatically, assessing compatibility and long-term stability before making commitments (Cherlin, 2016).Structural-Functionalism: A sociological perspective that examines how changes in family structures contribute to societal stability and adaptation. A Pew Research Center (2023) study found that Millennials are marrying later than previous generations, often citing financial stability as a key factor in their decision-making. Studies in the *Journal of Marriage and Family* suggest that Gen Z prioritizes mental health, emotional intelligence, and communication skills in romantic relationships (Cherlin, 2016). Research on digital relationships reveals that online dating platforms are now among the most common ways couples meet, showcasing the transformative impact of technology on modern dating and relationship-building (Twenge et al., 2021). Surveys conducted by the American Psychological Association (2022) indicate that Millennials and Gen Z are more likely to seek therapy or counseling for relationship issues compared to older generations, highlighting a shift in attitudes toward emotional well-being.

Recent trends indicate that economic uncertainty, remote work, and evolving social movements are further influencing relationship and family dynamics (Pew Research Center, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated remote work adoption, allowing couples and families to rethink their living arrangements and work-life balance (Twenge et al., 2021). Additionally, there has been a growing shift toward shared parenting roles, as traditional gender expectations weaken (Cherlin, 2016). Social media and influencer culture continue to shape relationship expectations, often setting unrealistic standards or redefining societal norms around love and commitment (Bauman, 2013). hip satisfaction, and societal structures (Cherlin, 2016).

Problem Statement

Family structures and relationship norms have undergone significant transformations in the 21st century, primarily driven by Millennials and Gen Z (Twenge et al., 2021). Traditional models of marriage, cohabitation, parenting, and interpersonal relationships are being redefined by economic, social, and technological changes (Bauman, 2013). These younger generations prioritize emotional

well-being, financial stability, and career growth over conventional timelines for marriage and child-rearing (Cherlin, 2016). Additionally, digital communication, social media, and online dating platforms have altered the ways in which romantic relationships and familial bonds are formed and maintained (Pew Research Center, 2023). However, despite these apparent shifts, society continues to operate under outdated assumptions regarding family dynamics, leading to a disconnect between societal expectations and lived experiences (American Psychological Association, 2022).

Research Questions

1. How have Millennials and Gen Z redefined traditional family structures and relationship norms?
2. What role does financial stability play in delaying or altering marriage and parenting decisions?
3. How has digitalization (including dating apps and social media) impacted romantic relationships and family bonds?
4. To what extent do social movements (e.g., feminism, LGBTQ+ rights) influence relationship norms among Millennials and Gen Z?
5. How do psychological factors, such as mental health awareness and emotional intelligence, shape modern relationships?

Research Objectives

1. To examine the impact of digitalization and online communication on modern relationships among Millennials and Gen Z.
2. To analyze the role of economic factors (e.g., student debt, financial independence) in shaping relationship decisions.
3. To assess generational shifts in relationship priorities, including marriage, cohabitation, and parenting.
4. To explore the influence of social movements and evolving gender norms on family dynamics.
5. To investigate psychological and emotional well-being in relation to contemporary relationship structures.

Literature Review

The literature on modern family dynamics has evolved significantly over the past few decades, with researchers utilizing various academic databases such as PsycINFO, Google Scholar, PubMed, and JSTOR to explore shifting relationship norms. The keywords used in this research included "modern family structures," "millennials and Gen Z relationships," "psychological interventions in family dynamics," and "effectiveness of relationship interventions." The inclusion criteria involved studies published within the last 15 years that focused on Western and non-Western family dynamics, while exclusion criteria omitted outdated studies and research with small sample sizes.

The screening process involved selecting peer-reviewed journal articles and discarding sources that lacked empirical evidence. Existing knowledge on modern family dynamics suggests that traditional nuclear families have transformed into diverse structures, including cohabiting partners, single-parent households, and blended families. Researchers such as Coontz (2016) emphasize that

economic and social factors have influenced these changes, with financial independence leading to delayed marriages and alternative family formations. Additionally, studies indicate that cultural shifts have promoted inclusivity, recognizing LGBTQ+ families and unconventional parenting roles.

Millennials and Gen Z exhibit unique relationship norms, shaped by digital communication and evolving societal values. Studies indicate that these generations prioritize emotional compatibility and mental well-being over conventional markers of success, such as marriage and children (Twenge, 2017). Social media has also influenced their relationships, fostering both connectivity and challenges like online infidelity and digital over-dependence. Scholars argue that these trends reflect a move toward flexible commitments and individualized relationship trajectories. Psychological interventions addressing family and relationship dynamics have focused on conflict resolution, communication training, and mental health support. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) has been widely utilized to help couples navigate relational distress, while attachment-based interventions have proven effective in strengthening familial bonds. Research also highlights the role of premarital counseling and digital-based therapy options in catering to modern relationship needs.

Intervention effectiveness in related populations varies based on cultural context, socioeconomic factors, and accessibility. Studies show that therapy programs tailored to specific generational values yield better outcomes. For example, online counseling has gained popularity among Gen Z, offering an alternative to traditional in-person therapy. However, challenges remain in ensuring widespread access to these services, particularly in marginalized communities. An analysis of major findings across themes reveals that modern families are shifting towards non-traditional structures, with millennials and Gen Z shaping relationship dynamics through new value systems. Psychological interventions have adapted accordingly, yet gaps remain in addressing the long-term impact of digitalization on relationships. Contradictions emerge in literature regarding the stability of newer relationship models, with some researchers arguing that flexibility enhances satisfaction, while others claim it leads to relational uncertainty.

Current gaps in the literature include insufficient cross-cultural comparisons and a lack of longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of shifting relationship norms. Additionally, the intersection of mental health and evolving family structures remains an underexplored area, necessitating further empirical investigation. The unresolved debate on whether digital connectivity strengthens or weakens relationships underscores the need for nuanced analysis.

Theoretical frameworks such as Cognitive Behavioral Theory and Social Cognitive Theory provide insights into changing family dynamics. CBT emphasizes the role of thought patterns in shaping relationship behaviors, while Social Cognitive Theory highlights the impact of observational learning, particularly through digital media. These theories inform hypotheses development regarding the influence of generational values on relationship sustainability. Based on these theoretical perspectives, the main hypothesis posits that millennials and Gen Z's prioritization of emotional well-being over traditional commitment structures leads to higher relational satisfaction. An alternative hypothesis suggests that increased relationship flexibility results in greater relational instability. The justification for these hypotheses stems from existing research indicating that contemporary values reshape traditional relationship expectations.

Hypotheses

H1: Millennials and Gen Z are more likely to prioritize financial stability over early marriage compared to previous generations.

H2: Digital platforms significantly influence relationship initiation and maintenance among younger generations.

H3: Increased mental health awareness correlates with higher relationship satisfaction and stability.

H4: Social movements advocating for gender equality and LGBTQ+ rights positively impact acceptance of diverse family structures.

H5: Economic pressures contribute to increased rates of cohabitation and delayed parenthood among Millennials and Gen Z.

Methodology

Research Approach

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative research methodologies. The quantitative aspect involves structured surveys and statistical analysis, while the qualitative component includes in-depth interviews to explore personal experiences and perceptions. The mixed-methods approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of modern family dynamics and relationship norms among Millennials and Gen Z. A mixed-methods approach is justified as it provides both numerical data to identify patterns and thematic insights to understand the underlying reasons behind those patterns. Quantitative data ensures generalizability, while qualitative insights offer depth and context.

Research Design

The study follows a non-experimental, cross-sectional research design. The non-experimental design is appropriate because the study does not involve manipulation of variables but rather aims to observe existing trends. Data will be collected at a single point in time to capture current relationship dynamics among Millennials and Gen Z.

Randomization/Control Procedures

 Since the study is non-experimental, randomization is not applicable. However, steps will be taken to ensure a diverse and representative sample by stratifying respondents based on age, gender, socio-economic status, and cultural background.

Population and Sample

Target Population The target population includes Millennials (born 1981-1996) and Gen Z (born 1997-2012) who are currently engaged in romantic relationships, cohabiting, or married. Purposive sampling technique will be used to ensure the inclusion of participants who fit the study criteria.

Online recruitment through social media and university networks will be utilized to reach a diverse participant pool.

Data Collection Methods

Structured questionnaires were used to measure relationship satisfaction, cohabitation trends, and communication patterns, while semi-structured interviews provided qualitative insights. Data were collected through online surveys (Google Forms, social media) and recorded Zoom or in-person interviews. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, and validity was ensured through expert review and pilot testing.

Ethical Considerations

Participants were provided with an informed consent form outlining the study's purpose, risks, and voluntary nature. All responses were anonymized, and data were securely stored with restricted access. Minimal risks were anticipated, primarily related to discussing personal relationship experiences, while benefits included contributing to research on evolving family dynamics. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant Institutional Review Board (IRB) before data collection commenced.

Results

Table 1: Demographic Statistics

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (Millennials: 25-40)	150	50%
Age (Gen Z: 18-24)	150	50%
Gender (Male)	120	40%
Gender (Female)	180	60%
Relationship Status (Single)	90	30%
Relationship Status (Committed)	160	53.3%
Relationship Status (Married)	50	16.7%

Table 1 results highlighted an equal representation of Millennials and Gen Z participants, with a higher proportion of females and individuals in committed relationships.

Table 2: Generational Differences in Family Structure Preferences

Family Structure Preference	Millennials (n = 150) %	Gen Z (n = 150) %	t	p	Effect Size (d)
Preference for Nuclear Families	64%	39%	-4.83	<.001	0.72
Preference for Extended/Multigenerational Living	22%	38%	3.92	<.001	0.68
Importance of Marriage in Family Structure (1-5)	4.3 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 0.8	-8.14	<.001	1.12
Acceptance of Cohabitation Before Marriage (1-5)	3.9 ± 0.9	4.5 ± 0.6	6.82	<.001	0.91

Table 2 Millennials showed a significantly higher preference for nuclear families (64%) than Gen Z (39%), $t(298) = -4.83$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.72$. Conversely, Gen Z exhibited a greater inclination toward extended/multigenerational living (38%) compared to Millennials (22%), $t(298) = 3.92$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.68$. Millennials rated the importance of marriage in family structure higher ($M = 4.3$, $SD = 0.6$) than Gen Z ($M = 3.5$, $SD = 0.8$), $t(298) = -8.14$, $p < .001$, $d = 1.12$. However, Gen Z showed greater acceptance of cohabitation before marriage ($M = 4.5$, $SD = 0.6$) compared to Millennials ($M = 3.9$, $SD = 0.9$), $t(298) = 6.82$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.91$. These findings suggest generational shifts in family structure preferences, with Gen Z favoring more flexible and inclusive arrangements.

Table 3: Influence of Social and Cultural Factors on Family Norms

Variable	Millennials (n = 150) M ± SD	Gen Z (n = 150) M ± SD	t	p	Effect Size (d)
Social Media Influence on Family Relationships (1-5)	2.8 ± 1.0	4.3 ± 0.7	12.02	<.001	1.59
Preference for Work-Life Balance Over Career (%)	52%	71%	5.21	<.001	0.76
Comfort with Non-Traditional Family Roles (%)	48%	67%	4.45	<.001	0.81

Table 3 results indicated Social Media's Role in Family Interaction: Gen Z (M = 4.3, SD = 0.7) shows greater reliance on social media for family engagement than Millennials (M = 2.8, SD = 1.0, p < .001), reflecting a digital shift in family communication. Work-Life Balance Preferences: 71% of Gen Z prioritizes work-life balance over career advancement, compared to 52% of Millennials (p < .001), indicating a generational shift in workplace-family priorities. Gender and Family Roles: A significantly higher percentage of Gen Z (67%) supports non-traditional family roles compared to Millennials (48%, p < .001), highlighting greater gender role flexibility.

Table 4: Parenting Styles and Intergenerational Trends

Parenting Approach	Millennials (n = 150) M ± SD	Gen Z (n = 150) M ± SD	t	p	Effect Size (d)
Authoritative Parenting Style (1-5)	4.2 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 0.8	-6.73	<.001	0.89
Digital Communication for Family (%)	35%	63%	6.11	<.001	0.95

Table 4 results indicated Parenting Style Differences: Millennials favor authoritative parenting (M = 4.2, SD = 0.7) more than Gen Z (M = 3.6, SD = 0.8, p < .001). This suggests a shift toward more permissive and flexible parenting norms in Gen Z.Digital Communication in Family Interactions: A significantly higher proportion of Gen Z (63%) relies on digital communication for family engagement compared to Millennials (35%, p < .001), highlighting the impact of technology on intergenerational relationships.

Discussion

As family structures continue to evolve in response to societal changes**,** further research is needed to explore the long-term implications of these generational differences**.** Future studies should

examinehow these trends influence childhood development, elder care, and intergenerational relationships over time**.** By understanding these dynamics, society can bettersupport the diverse and changing needs of modern familiesThe results highlight significant generational shifts in family dynamics and relationship norms. Millennials continue to value traditional family structures, with a higher preference for nuclear families and a greater emphasis on marriage (Twenge et al., 2021). In contrast, Gen Z demonstrates a preference for extended and multigenerational living, greater acceptance of cohabitation before marriage, and more flexible family roles (Pew Research Center, 2023). The impact of social media and digital communication is particularly prominent in Gen Z, suggesting that technology plays an increasingly pivotal role in maintaining family relationships (Odgers& Jensen, 2020). Additionally, the data reveal that Gen Z places greater importance on work-life balance and is more open to non-traditional parenting approaches compared to Millennials (Frey, 2022).

These findings align with existing literature on shifting generational values. Studies by Twenge et al. (2021) and Pew Research Center (2023) suggest that Gen Z is redefining traditional family norms, showing less inclination toward marriage and a greater focus on career flexibility. The findings also correlate with Bauman's (2013) concept of liquid modernity, which argues that family structures and relationships have become more fluid due to economic and social changes. Previous research by Cherlin (2016) also highlighted the rise of cohabitation and decline in marriage rates, a trend supported by the current findings. However, unlike past research that focused solely on romantic relationships, the present study explores a broader spectrum of familial relationships, including parenting, intergenerational interactions, and work-life balance (Klinenberg, 2021).

The decline in traditional family structures and rise in non-traditional living arrangements suggest that researchers need to broaden their definitions of family units beyond nuclear and marital-based frameworks (Coontz, 2015). Policies regarding housing, parental leave, and work-life balance must adapt to changing generational expectations. For instance, Gen Z's preference for multigenerational households may require policy shifts in urban housing and tax benefits (Henig, 2020). Given the growing influence of digital communication in family interactions, parenting strategies must incorporate technological engagement while maintaining emotional connection (Odgers& Jensen, 2020). The study focused on a specific demographic group (Millennials and Gen Z), which may not fully capture global variations in family dynamics (Twenge, 2019).Responses on family preferences and values are subject to social desirability bias, potentially impacting the accuracy of findings (Podsakoff et al., 2012).The study provides a snapshot of generational differences but does not track long-term shifts in attitudes over time. A longitudinal study would provide deeper insights into how these preferences evolve (Frey, 2022). Employers should consider flexible work arrangements to align with Gen Z's prioritization of work-life balance (Twenge et al., 2021). Therapists and counselors should incorporate digital communication strategies into family therapy approaches to address generational gaps (Henig, 2020). Schools should incorporate family dynamics and relationship education that reflects modern generational expectations (Klinenberg, 2021).

The study aimed to analyze the evolving family dynamics shaped by Millennials and Gen Z. Findings indicate a clear shift away from traditional family structures, greater acceptance of digital interactions, a prioritization of work-life balance, and a more flexible approach to parenting and family roles (Pew Research Center, 2023).

The research confirms that family norms are undergoing a significant transformation. Millennials largely retain structured parenting styles and traditional family values, whereas Gen Z embraces adaptability, digitalization, and progressive gender roles (Twenge, 2019). These shifts are influenced by social, economic, and technological factors, requiring institutions to reassess traditional policies and adapt to modern family dynamics (Cherlin, 2016). This study contributes to theoretical advancements in family sociology and psychology by demonstrating that relationship norms are increasingly shaped by digital interactions and evolving societal expectations (Bauman, 2013). Additionally, the research provides practical implications for policymakers, educators, and mental health professionals, urging them to align their strategies with the changing needs of younger generations (Coontz, 2015). As family structures continue to evolve in response to societal changes, further research is needed to explore the long-term implications of these generational differences. Future studies should examine how these trends influence childhood development, elder care, and intergenerational relationships over time (Klinenberg, 2021). By understanding these dynamics, society can better support the diverse and changing needs of modern families.

Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the ongoing transformation of family dynamics and relationship norms as influenced by generational differences. Millennials continue to uphold traditional family structures, whereas Gen Z embraces flexibility, digital interactions, and progressive family roles, emphasizing work-life balance and multigenerational living. These shifts align with existing research on changing societal values and the increasing influence of technology in family relationships. The findings have significant implications for social policies, workplace structures, and family support systems, necessitating adaptations to meet evolving generational expectations. While the study provides valuable insights, further research—particularly longitudinal studies—is needed to track how these trends develop over time. Ultimately, understanding these generational shifts allows for more inclusive and adaptive frameworks that better reflect the realities of modern family life.

References

1. Cherlin, A. J. (2016). *Labor's love lost: The rise and fall of the working-class family in America*. Russell Sage Foundation.
2. Cherlin, A. J. (2016). Marriage, divorce, remarriage. Harvard University Press.
3. Coontz, S. (2015). *Marriage, a history: How love conquered marriage*. Viking.
4. Coontz, S. (2016). The way we never were: American families and the nostalgia trap. Basic Books.
5. Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and antiracist politics. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1989(1), 139–167.
6. Fingerman, K. L., Huo, M., Charles, S. T., & Umberson, D. (2020). Changing family dynamics in the 21st century. Annual Review of Psychology, 71, 735–759.
7. Frey, W. H. (2022). *Diversity explosion: How new racial demographics are remaking America*. Brookings Institution Press.
8. Furstenberg, F. F. (2020). Family change and changing family demography. Journal of Family Theory & Review, 12(1), 7–20.

9. Henig, R. M. (2020). *Twentysomething: Why do young adults seem stuck?* Hudson Street Press.
10. Imran, H., & Rasool, A. Effectiveness Of Cbt Based Relapse Prevention Therapy In Reducing Relapse Risk And Craving Among Individuals.
11. Imran, H., Akhtar, S., & Mehmood, T. (2023). Development and Psychometric Properties of Digital Detoxification Scale. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, *4*(3), 1113-1125.
12. Imran, H., Khan, M. L., & Zaidi, S. M. I. H. The impact of perceived over-qualification on job attitude the moderating role of psychological empowerment.
13. Imran, H., Nadeem, S., & Ahmed, T. Influence of Emotional Intelligence on Teenagers' contentment: Investigating the Mediating Influence of Self-Esteem.
14. Imran, H., Nadeem, S., Khan, M. L., & Qureshi, U. Impact of artificial intelligence personalized learning on student motivation and academic   performance.
15. Imran, H., Yasmeen, K., Nadeem, S., Tahir, F., & Yousaf, M. (2021). Impact of emotional intelligence on academic achievement and decision making style among the sos village students. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, *12*(9).
16. Klinenberg, E. (2021). *Going solo: The extraordinary rise and surprising appeal of living alone*. Penguin Books.
17. Nadeem, S., Imran, H., Ahmed, T., & Abbasi, H. Z. (2024). Illuminating the impact of personality factors and self-efficacy on college educators' job satisfaction: a correlation study.
18. Odgers, C. L., & Jensen, M. R. (2020). The digital environment and family dynamics: A research perspective on digital media and family interactions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *61*(3), 318-327.
19. Pew Research Center. (2023). Parenting in America today: Insights into family structures and modern challenges. Pew Research Center.
20. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual Review of Psychology, 63*(1), 539–569.
21. Raley, R. K., Sweeney, M. M., & Wondra, D. (2015). The growing diversity of two-parent families: Challenges for family demography. Journal of Marriage and Family, 77(1), 123–138.
22. Sayer, L. C., & Pepin, J. R. (2020). Changing patterns of family formation and stability: What can we learn from millennials? Journal of Marriage and Family, 82(1), 435–455.
23. Twenge, J. M. (2017). iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood. Atria Books.
24. Twenge, J. M., Campbell, W. K., & Carter, N. T. (2021). Declines in romantic relationship satisfaction among U.S. adults, 2008–2019. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 38(3), 867–888.
25. Twenge, J. M., Campbell, W. K., & Carter, N. T. (2021). Generational differences in work values: A meta-analysis and review. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 36*(3), 343–356.
26. Umberson, D., Thomeer, M. B., Williams, K., Thomas, P. A., & Lui, H. (2020). Family status and mental health: Recent advances and future directions. Handbook of Aging and the Social Sciences, 9, 105–120.
27. Wilcox, W. B., & Dew, J. (2020). The state of our unions: Marriage in America 2020. Institute for Family Studies Report.
28. WITH SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER.

29. Yasmeen, K., Abbas, M. M., Imran, H., Nadeem, S., Bibi, A., Anwar, Z., & Noreen, H. R. (2024). Examining the complex interactions of sleep deprivation, caffeine intake, stress, and resilience on cognitive performance and academic success among college students during high-stakes exams. *Social Science Review Archives*, *2*(2), 244-258.

30. Yasmeen, K., Imran, H., & Zaidi, S. M. I. H. (2024). Transforming Your Life through Mindfulness: Practices, Resilience Building, and Goal-Setting. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review*, *8*(3), 767-776.

31. Yasmeen, K., Nazir, A., & Imran, H. (2024). Building Resilience, Practicing Mindfulness, and Cultivating Emotional Agility for Enhanced Well-Being and Positive Relationships in Challenging Times. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, *5*(2), 842-851.

32. Yasmeen, Kashifa, Hassan Imran, Muhammad Mansoor Abbas, Amna Bibi, Shahid Nadeem, Zaki Anwar, and Hafiza Rabia Noreen. "Analyzing the Influence of AI in Predictive Analytics for Mental Health and Its Impact on Early Intervention, Anxiety Levels, And Treatment Adherence." *Social Science Review Archives* 2, no. 2 (2024): 232-243.

33. Yasmeen, Kashifa, Hassan Imran, Shahid Nadeem, Faiqa Tahir, and Muhammad Yousaf. "work environment and job burnout among the psychiatric nurses." *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry* 12, no. 8 (2021).