Let's Start!

RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PSYCHOLOGY

Impact of ChatGPT on Academic Engagement and Personalized Learning among Postgraduate Students – A Literature Review

Oqba Khan*
PhD Scholar, IER Kohat University of Science & Technology, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.
Corresponding Author Email: Uqbakhan4@gmail.com
Prof. Dr. Farid Ullah
IER Kohat University of Science & Technology, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
Prof. Naseer-ud-Din
Pro Vice Chancellor, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Abstract

As the education technology space continues to evolve, ChatGPT and other AI models are transforming the manner in which students interact with content, self-growing the mode. This paper provides an in-depth relationship between SDL which is also an ache of freedom and autonomy and the use of ChatGPT by postgraduate students. In an age where students are becoming more dependent on AI tools, there are questions that come up as to how different these tools will impact levels of autonomy, motivation and satisfaction from learning. All of these factors combine to form successful specific learning design for various focus points, in this case Higher education and ChatGPT's role in them. This article gives an account of the relationship between SDL and 'emerging' factors bringing in new paradigms to the existence of SDL & 'How' possible are those paradigms, assisted by recent debates young generations use ChatGPT to elevate academic performance. Furthermore, there are evident advantages observed where students are now able to receive timely help in more flexible ways there is still a grip of obstacles accompanied with academic integrity, an over-dependence on AI tools to solve complex scenarios and the limitations in both social and geographical factors which can restrict some users to have access in basic social constructs. This study further elucidates. As higher education institutions embrace AI, recognizing its subtle function in promoting SDL will be critical for creating supportive, inclusive, and effective learning environments. This study seeks to provide educators, policymakers, and academics with a comprehensive understanding of ChatGPT's role in supporting SDL, allowing them to make more educated decisions about AI integration in higher education.

Key Words: ChatGPT, Academic Engagement, Personalized Learning

Introduction

Self-directed learning is the method in which learner take the initiate according to their learning needs as they have identified their learning needs, their progress and the locate their resources and they set their goals accordingly , it is the approach which is used usually used in adult learning According to Knowles (1975), moreover this self-regulatory learning approach covers the important skills of an individual like , their motivational factor, time management , self-assessment, and goal setting that's how SDL is an important aspect of current education (Ayeni et al., 2024).

The increasing importance of personalized learning is driven by the desire to cater to individual learning preferences, abilities, and pace. Personalized learning

allows for a more tailored educational experience, making it particularly useful in higher education. Research shows that personalized learning improves student engagement, learning outcomes, and academic satisfactionA research conducted by Walkington (2013) has raised the issue of how the personalization of educational content raises the levels of intrinsic motivation and cognitive engagement within students by orienting the content to the interests and the learning requirements of the learners among other researchers (Ahmad, et.al., 2021, Chen, Chen & Lin, 2020, Pratama, Sampelolo & Lura, 2023)

As personalized learning in higher education also takes into consideration the different learning preferences and the diversity of the students' culture, there is also greater emphasis on how digitalized tools and AI such as ChatGPT which are now commonplace, impact personalized learning by offering feedback in real time, assist learners to pace themselves and cater for different learners at different stages in their learning process. (Kabudi, Pappas & Olsen, 2021, Zhai, et.al., 2021).

OpenAI's artificial intelligent teacher ChatGPT should be able to offer academic assistance to postgraduate students as well as provide general information on academic related subjects. Such as answering difficult queries, synthesising research articles and providing relevant information on various topics. Moreover, plenty of assistance with academic work can be useful, especially for a student who is outside of the classroom, where information is available at any time of the day and specialists are not required.

Because of its ability to generate relevant responses or comprehend interactions, ChatGPT has seamlessly integrated into many other areas within education. In addition, fresh avenues have also been created

With real-time feedback and personalized responses that let students work at their own pace, ChatGPT helps postgraduate students develop their independence. By interacting with the content at their own pace, it gives pupils autonomy over their learning and promotes self-control and autonomous study practices. The way students interact with their process of study has been completely transformed by ChatGPT. Because it can be used at every step of the research process, including developing research ideas and maturing the issue under study, conducting a literature review, choosing an appropriate methodology, data analysis, discussion, and creating the outline and writing the final draft of the research paper, ChatGPT is acting as a personalized research assistant (Dowling & Lucey, 2023).

But even though it has the potential to help with research, how well students use it will determine how effective it is. This is since, while it can empower students when used properly (Yang et al., 2022), it can also cause dependency and impede the development of essential abilities if it is misused (Kasneci et al., 2023). According to Kasneci et al. (2023), students may rely significantly on ChatGPT due to its ability to produce information rapidly and with less effort. As a result, ChatGPT may have revolutionary effects on students' research and academic inquiry (Atlas, 2023).The way students interact with their process of study has been completely transformed by ChatGPT.

By serving as an interactive tool that motivates students to actively engage in their education, ChatGPT also promotes academic engagement. Postgraduate students can utilize ChatGPT to compose research papers and generate ideas. Students can improve their writing, sharpen their critical thinking abilities, and gain a deeper comprehension of the subject matter by conversing with the AI. ChatGPT can also serve as a research assistant (Dowling & Lucey, 2023).

It is a tool that, when used properly, can empower learners (Yang et al., 2022), but when misused, can result in dependency and impede the development of critical skills (Kasneci et al., 2023). As such, its effectiveness is dependent on how students interact with it, despite its promising potential to support research. According to Kasneci et al. (2023), students may rely significantly on ChatGPT due to its ability to produce information rapidly and with less effort. Students may therefore develop their problem-solving abilities (Mhlanga, 2023; Shiri, 2023).

Additionally, students may cheat, finish projects, and write research papers using ChatGPT without verifying the information it generates (Zhai, 2022). This would hinder their ability to conduct effective research. Teachers must give students direction and instruction so they can use ChatGPT effectively. Dai et al. (2023) indicated that students should possess a set of competencies to receive high-quality responses from ChatGPT. Furthermore, even with the instructor's help, some students may still abuse ChatGPT (Yu, 2023). For this reason, educators need to establish a stimulating and engaging atmosphere where students may take charge of their education, enhancing their proficiency and learning results (Zhou & Li, 2023).

Problem Statement

To address the diverse and complex needs of modern learners, learning frameworks must evolve, particularly in the ever-changing context of postgraduate education.

Today's postgraduate students seek flexible, self-directed, and personalized learning experiences that sustain engagement and facilitate continuous knowledge acquisition. Traditional educational methods often fail to meet these expectations, especially as students encounter increasingly complex knowledge domains and are required to develop advanced self-regulatory skills.

Advances in technology through artificial intelligence can help overcome the problems faced by many in academics. For instance, ChatGPT may provide academic assistance with guaranteed responsiveness and instant feedback. Most of the AI study courses comes at a cost because they are offered to academics in STEM fields however few studies have been found that are specific to ChatGPT's perspective of SDL. The studies accounts lack having more detailed account on ChatGPT or incorporated it to self-directed learning in a framework. Therefore, there is lack of awareness on the effect that ChatGPT has on postgraduate learners when it comes to their engagement, academic performance and self-directed learning. Such a gap is crucial as it indicates how gaps in academic literature may help to guide the integration of AI in future educational models that are adaptive and help to further engage and improve students in different levels."

Purpose and Scope

The main focus of this paper is to understand and integrate the previous findings about ChatGPT in functioning of SDL for postgraduate learners. The motivating factor for this study is also the role ChatGPT plays when it comes to blending and augmenting the academic engagement, personalized learning, and motivation while providing supplemental academic context. The review proposes ChatGPT's inclusion such that it is incorporated fully into the SDL and replace traditional models.

According to Rodway & Schepman (2023) and Luckin & Holmes (2023), it is therefore expected that the use of AI in education would increase significantly at higher education institutions (HEIs), changing the way that learning and teaching are conducted. Academic support has developed into a crucial element of HEIs in recent years, fulfilling two functions. It helps students in their academic endeavors and advances the institution's overarching objectives of improving student perseverance and retention.

ChatGPT, a large language model (LLM) that can comprehend human input and produce response text that is nearly identical to natural human language, has revolutionized the education sector since the release of the third version of OpenAI's

generative pretrained transformer, also known as ChatGPT free version November 2022 (Ray,2023). The fact that it is designed to produce writing that is conversationally styled and appears human further emphasizes its capacity to handle a variety of linguistic tasks, such as translation, summarization, response, and text generation (Cotton & Shipway,2023).

Additionally, a language modeling task (Abdullah, Madain, & Jararweh 2023) pretrained it with a large text dataset (including books, papers, and webpages) so that it can learn patterns and connections between words and phrases in spoken language to produce rational and realistic responses in a conversation (Ray, 2023).

Impact of ChatGPT's on Academic Tasks, Motivation, and Engagement

Lately researchers started to investigate the impact of ChatGPT on academic engagement, performance and motivation of the students specifically at post graduate level like on of the researcher Kasneci et al. (2023) mentioned in his research that ChatGPT enhances student performance amalgamation, it provides independence to the students and it do not put cognitive load. This approach supports SDL and it also encourage students to actively interact with the system knowing the fact that they will have enough of information Mhlanga (2023).

Rudolph et al. (2023) revealed in this study that ChatGPT expands scholars motivation and engagement, in his study the participants reveal that AI tools has raised their confidence as they can work independently on challenging tasks. According to another that ChatGPT's collaborative abilities assistanc the students to discover themes more freely, supporting them in the autonomous discovery of academic subjects, which is essential for postgraduate research (SDL Prosekov, 2020). Furthermore, in the research it was revealed that ChatGPT supports personalized learning For example, Xia (2022) discussed that in what way ChatGPT allows individual support, this customization boots the motivation and engagement level in students as it provides tailed learning paths according to individual's needs, it increases their academic competences. ChatGPT plays an vital role in supporting students, it provides engagement and satisfaction in educational experiences Kohnke (2023).

Fredricks et al. (2004) hypothesized that academic involvement has three dimensions: behavioral, emotional, and cognitive. This three-dimensional model, developed from a thorough synthesis of relevant data, encompasses all dimensions of educational contexts (Fredricks et al., 2004). The model has been widely used to

synthesize studies in the field of technology-enhanced learning, with several indicators identified for each dimension (Bond, 2020; Bond & Bedenlier, 2019; Bond et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2021).

Cognitive Engagement

A study by Bond and Bedenlier (2019) presented research that took into account cognitive engagement with a group of peers, exercising critical thinking, engaging in self-regulation, having a positive self-concept and comprehension. Critical thinking and the ability to solve problems are enhanced by ChatGPT which, in turn, tends to lead to increased cognitive engagement. Some students in the study of Escalante et al. (2023) observed that using both the teacher's feedback and that of ChatGPT allowed them to "learn more from both" and this strong effect was attributed to the interactive and conversational character of ChatGPT. This shows that, in the context of education with ChatGPT, learning could be regarded as a form of cognitive engagement.

Zhang and Tur (2023) have identified a trend where students' critical thinking could improve through the mere addition of ChatGPT as they had to question the validity of the responses given by ChatGPT. In terms of self-regulation, Cai et al. (2023) have observed that ChatGPT made it easy for the students to manage their pace and mode of learning. Cognitive engagement is enhanced by ChatGPT which employs various interactive activities like quizzes and Socratic questions. These quizzes are adjustable in form to suit the students. Quizzes can be changed to check students on certain subjects, giving quick feedback that helps them evaluate themselves and find topics needing more study. ChatGPT also helps students discuss different ideas or ask for various views on a research topic, which is particularly useful for postgraduate students working on a thesis or project. The study by Wu et al. (2024) found that learning with ChatGPT improved students' self-regulated learning and boosted their self-efficacy. Ultimately, many students said that using ChatGPT helped them think and broaden their knowledge (Chan & Hu, 2023).Student achievement may be used as a proxy measure for cognitive engagement, thereby reflecting what students know (Huang et al. 2019). Indications of cognitive disengagement include the reluctance to use and the rejection of technology (Bond, 2020; Bond et al., 2020). Our preliminary survey of the literature suggests that the use of ChatGPT runs the risk of blunting students' critical thinking skills and creating an overdependence on the tool (Vargas-Murillo et al., 2023). Cai et al. (2023) noted that everyone agreed that overreliance and the lackluster intellectual engagement derived

from ChatGPT-supported learning do come with drawbacks. Ultimately this may lead to a deterioration of critical thinking as a student makes decisions purely based on ChatGPT information (Chan & Hu, 2023).

Behavioural engagement comprises the demonstration of students' participation and effort, study habits, task completion, and time spent on tasks. ChatGPT gives time for the habitual development of such self-directed learning attributes, which include things like studying constantly and being able to finish tasks. ChatGPT, a widely known academic colleague, assists the student in normalizing academic behaviors such as revisiting courses consistently, preparing for tests, and completing assignments in time (Bond, 2020; Bond & Bedenlier, 2019; Bond et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2021). According to Escalante et al. (2023), ChatGPT is always at the service of learners, thus involving them in processes of performance and participation in the learning activities.

The quick availability of answers to questions or complicated explanations encourages students to study regularly instead of cramming just before the testing date. It also functions as an aid in scheduling and planning; therefore, it helps students manage their academic workload appropriately, setting incremental targets and sticking to the plan towards academic endeavors. Students regularly employ ChatGPT as a co-partner, proofreading and revising their writing (Jo, 2023; Liu & Ma, 2024). All through the day and night, ChatGPT can help inculcate good studying habits - aiding in exam preparations, alongside other learning tasks (Lo, 2023; Zhang & Tur, 2023). Chan and Hu (2023) recorded that, for instance, a student indicated that ChatGPT was a game thief by handling tedious tasks, thus increasing the time available for studying and fulfilling common first-order assignments. One indication of behavioral disengagement would be absence, inattentiveness, lack of preparation, poor behavior, and incompletion of tasks, as noted by Bond (2020) and Bond et al. (2020). Sallam (2023), however, cautioned that students may cheat and misuse ChatGPT for academic dishonesty since it can pass any famous test.

Which include submission, submission by the students of ChatGPT-generated work as their own, hence posing serious plagiarism concerns (Lo, 2023; Zhang & Tur, 2023). "All you have to do is copy and paste some keywords, and with a few clicks, you have your final output", said this pupil. According to one pupil, "all you need to do is just copy and paste a few keywords and a few clicks for final production." From the viewpoint of ideal plagiarism, everything appears perfect." (Yan, 2023)

Emotional Engagement

There are a number of signs of emotional engagement that Bond and Bedenlier (2019) and Lo and Hew (2021) found, including excitement, interest/fun, enjoyment, contentment, positive social connection, and reduced worry. Students thought ChatGPT's features were interesting, entertaining, and pleasurable when Uddin et al. (2023) utilized it in an engineering lecture. The pupils were quite pleased with the educational experience that ChatGPT provided. In terms of positive social interactions, ChatGPT can provide a collaborative and participatory learning environment and improve students' knowledge exchange (Duong, Vu, & Ngo, 2023).

Furthermore, by eliminating concerns associated with unsolved issues, Wu et al. (2024) found that ChatGPT reduced students' anxiety. According to Bond (2020) and Bond et al. (2020), anxiety and worry are key markers of emotional detachment. Additionally, our first review of the literature showed that some students were dissatisfied with their educational experiences and that using ChatGPT may hinder social interaction.

According to Chan and Hu's (2023) research, ChatGPT's lack of operational transparency made students hesitant to use it. Students may start to question the role of teachers if they think ChatGPT is very capable, which could harm the student-teacher bond (Chan & Hu, 2023).However, because ChatGPT uses training data, its responses could be biased or inaccurate (Lo, 2023). Therefore, as Cai et al. (2023) pointed out, some students might not be happy with its veracity and accuracy.

ChatGPT and Personalized Learning

AI tools like ChatGPT has the capacity to provide personalized learning, specially at postgraduate level, in this stage students have different learning styles and different learning demands . this software has the ability to involve the students in self-motivated, casual connections makes it a great tool for delivering tailored content and offering instant feedback, which are necessary for personalized learning (Kasneci et al. 2023; Yang et al., 2022).

Holmes et al. (2023) found in his study that AI tool ChatGPT increases the adapted learning experiences by giving them real-time responses that adjust to each student's query, allowing for personalized help even in huge student populations. This modified engagement allows students to go further into matters of attention or seek explanation as needed, according to miscellaneous academic experiences and learning styles (Dhanajaya, 2024). Furthermore, it was identified that ChatGPT feedback

system is very responsive students can easily assess their work , they can ask questions related to their work independently and system will answer according to the need (Zheng & Abedin, 2023). This fast pace response makes students to change their work accordingly the system suggest the nature of work and everything in a very comprehensive manner (Albdrani, 2023).

Moreover, the ability of ChatGPT to advance with various ways of learning has suited it to be able to cater for many types of students. ChatGPT is great for visual learners, auditory learners and kinesthetic learners as well since it allows the four types of knowledge — abstract & practical; conceptual & experiential (Al Shloul, 2024). You can have structured summaries and bullet points for different topics, where visual learners can read over, auditory learners can engage in a bit of interactive Q&A and kinesthetic learners will get to implement the queries in real-time. This flexibility not only enhances students' engagement with academics but also fosters a sense of ownership by empowering them to choose the how and when to access the academic knowledge which nurtures sustained motivation and interest (Xia, 2023). Lo (2024)

Customized Content and Resources

ChatGPT is quite good at providing specific learning material tailored to a student's unique classroom needs, hence it is seeing much use within the genre of adaptive learning. ChatGPT took on a much more fluid approach in dealing with the subject through its responsive prompts, descriptive components, and cascading suggestions based upon student responsiveness (Liu and Ma, 2024). It was able to adjust the content of any responses so that varying levels, from basics appropriate for novices to those suited for more advanced connection, would benefit learners. Because of this, the guidance available is suitably modified to precisely meet students' needs-whether a simple overview- for novices- whereas more experienced learners would need exploring beyond an introductory level into higher-order themes (Duong, Vu, and Ngo, 2023).

ChatGPT's ability to provide formative feedback is critical for individualized learning. It can check how students are doing over time, changing its advice based on past interactions and guiding students to specific learning goals. By looking at student responses and feedback, ChatGPT can point students to topics they need to improve, give relevant materials, and suggest ideas to study more. For example, if a student has trouble understanding difficult concepts, ChatGPT can break down the explanations

into simpler steps, suggest helpful readings, and provide practice questions to aid learning (Cai et al., 2023). Zhang (2023) noted that students using ChatGPT for one-on-one tutoring did better in school. The tool created materials with the right level of difficulty, which improved how effectively students learned and stayed interested.

Assistive and Inclusion Potential

ChatGPT being multi-functional is extremely key in the diverse accommodations for students with different backgrounds, learning styles and language preferences. Students of different backgrounds are making equal opportunities at involvement in the learning process thanks to its talent to generate content in multiple languages and describe issues with different terminology (Chan & Hu, 2023).That particular ability can be useful in providing nonnative English speaking postgraduate students with a successful connection with academic subject matter without being preoccupied with feeling far removed from the learning process (Wang et al, 2023).

In the furtherance of facilitating student learning with possible diagnoses of disability or having various learning styles, ChatGPT can assist in several modes. It could provide, for creatively disabled audiences, audio inputs for print-disabled students, use easier terms with less complexity for students requiring learning assistance, bring out taught concepts by providing a step-by-step approach for students whatever their condition. This tool becomes more flexible and allows the accessibility of these students for the non-traditional inputs that will provide many opportunities for more students to enjoy their education (Escalante et al., 2023).

Challenges to use ChatGPT in Postgraduate SDL and its limitations

Over-Reliance and Reduced Critical Thinking

Essential cognitive skills of critical thinking and decision-making haunt the mind of all aspirants of research in higher education. This cultivation involves forming sound arguments based on evidence. Critical thinking skills represent a fusion of cognitive abilities and critical thinking dispositions; for instance, truth-seeking, systematic evaluation, inference, self-regulation, in problem-solving were set forth by Chang (2024). Critical thinking dispositions are those patient and cultured inner traits that help a concerned individual in engaging in critical thinking activities (Facione & Facione, 1996). The goal-oriented composition of critical thinking aims at achieving exact solutions.

Overreliance on AI for knowledge acquisition may have a negative impact on various dimensions of critical thinking abilities and dispositions (Zhai, 2024). Critical

thinking dispositions are those intrinsic attitudes and dispositions that serve as the mental machinery for engagement in any such critical thinking activity. These would include love for evidence, multiple perspectives, identification of relationship, reflective thought processes, search for evidence, skepticism, respect for the views of the other, and tolerance (Facione & Facione, 1996). Following their dimensions in critical thinking, Facione and Facione (1996) identified six: inquisitiveness, open-mindedness, systematicity, analysis, truth-seeking, and trust in reasoning. These aspects mean the essential characteristics said to undergird and reinforce the development of individual critical thought; very much from being inquiring and open-minded to being systematic in problem-solving and developing trust in what evolved through evidence for problem solving.

The findings give credence to the assumption that there is an implicit connection between disposition and competence in higher-order thinking. This suggests that enhancing critical thinking dispositions plays a significant role in developing sound critical thinking skills. Critical thinking involves evaluating evidence, context, conceptualizations, methodologies, and criteria for judgment (Dergaa et al., 2023) in favor of a holistic evaluative view matched against the shallow diagnosis (Rodriguez & Towns, 2018). Several studies suggest that the institutions do not, by and large, contribute to the inculcation of the dispositions of critical thinking. All this converges into a setting where the teachers with low to medium levels of critical thinking stress developing educational practices which, in turn, teach not only the skills of critical thinking but also nurture the dispositions to apply them successfully in teaching and learning environments (McPeck, 2016).

Ethical and Equity Considerations

Since ChatGPT may be in conflict with the constructivist theory of learning, which emphasizes active student engagement and the building of knowledge, it is well known that it undermines ethical and equitable practices in the higher education sector. Any learning theory, including constructivism, is violated when ChatGPT is used as a shortcut to provide content instantaneously. The spirit of learning could be destroyed by unethical and unfair activities resulting from using ChatGPT to support learning (Hein, 1991). Digital inequity can also occur as access to technology and high-speed internet is not evenly distributed among students, which could exacerbate existing inequities in the educational system (Vogels, 2021).

The constructivism learning theory states that positive interactions between

the teacher and the student are the most effective way for learning to occur (Schuh, 2003). In addition, the learning environment consists of, among other things, social groupings, instructional methodologies, and an inspiring atmosphere (Zajda, 2021).

A learning environment that is purely supported by generative AI tools, like ChatGPT, would not have the aforementioned characteristics. ChatGPT has the ability to democratize through accessible and individualized learning experiences, increasing student involvement in higher education despite ethical and equity concerns (Popenici & Kerr, 2017; Pavlik, 2023).

However, limited access to ChatGPT by certain students might cause a knowledge gap, which could, in effect, impede students from becoming active constructors of their own knowledge or create a wide knowledge gap among the students (Hein, 1991). Institutions of higher learning should put in place measures for equal access to technology and assistive devices as a key strategy to making ChatGPT inclusive technology and to overcoming digital inequities (Lim et al., 2023). There is also a stark disadvantage that arises because ChatGPT is supposed to accept the inputs from AI instead of human instructors, therefore conflicting with the constructivist theory of learning, which stresses the importance of interaction and social engagement in learning (Hein, 1991). This also affects further down the lineage of outreach for human instructors to know and trust technology, wherein universities could combine the efforts of sending feedback to students using ChatGPT with shoestring information deliveries without alienating potential input or running a risk of substantive errors (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Zhuo et al., 2023). Copyright issues could arise since ChatGPT may have learned using or produced similar responses to those using copyright laws, as decided upon the copyright location. Also, on behalf of copyright concerns, while still reporting to institutional accountability, institutions must work within copyright and other limitations to ensure that ChatGPT does not circumvent copyright laws (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Karim, 2023). More so, students with impairments may require assistive technology equipment such as text-to-speech software or voice recognition tools to use ChatGPT efficiently, raising issues relating to equitable access (Hemsley et al. 2023). Therefore, it necessitates institutions of higher education to eradicate digital disparities and establish the availability of assistive technology devices for students who need them to make ChatGPT more inclusive (Lim et al., 2023).

Limitations in Personalized Responses

Some personalized responses for individual learning experiences in the ChatGPT system include but are not limited to the information input, as, unlike humans, the system cannot depict a student academic career progress, research background, and other advanced learning styles will never be achieved (Karim, 2023). Whereas the AI tool can produce individualized information based on some form of input, it is still unable to perceive the academic journey of a student, their research background, or subtle learning preferences with the precision that a real-life teacher-tutor could (Karim, 2023). Consequently, one common manifestation of this limitation is tendency toward generic or incomplete answers, which do not articulate neither, nor into, the specific goals and obstacles a student has. In addition, such a tool may produce inaccurate or irrelevant content, especially when presented with complicated academic problems, a fact that some students might not be capable of eventually evaluating on their own. Wang et al. (2022) therefore noted that AI technologies, such as ChatGPT, are not equipped with reasoning ability to learn about the surrounding of a student learning in yet annexing meaningful personalized and efficient homework help. The findings indicate that ChatGPT can provide help for basic tasks, albeit with further specialized learning experience.

Ethical Considerations

Studies highlight ethical concerns with AI dialogue systems in research and education. Scholars highlight AI's promise and limits in generating scientific information and specialized reasoning, with concerns about AI's capacity to provide reliable references, the possibility of hallucination in various circumstances, and limited mechanical reasoning capabilities (Lee et al., 2023). Furthermore, research identified algorithmic bias as a significant concern, especially due to the training datasets, with Large Language Models such as GPT-4 potentially reinforcing social biases and stereotypes (Grassini, 2023).

Privacy concerns were also raised, with research demonstrating that AI systems may mistakenly leak personal information (Abd-Alrazaq et al., 2023). EFL students confront issues connected to academic integrity (Duhaylungsod & Chavez, 2023). Finally, transparency problems were expressed due to a lack of clarification regarding AI data sources (Dergaa et al., 2023). Figure 3 summarizes the five ethical challenges of AI identified during the course of this work, emphasizing the significant areas of concern at the junction of AI and literary analysis.

Future Directions and Research Implications

Improving AI Algorithms for Deeper Personalization

To improve the utility of ChatGPT in postgraduate self-directed learning (SDL), more advances in natural language processing (NLP) models are required. Current AI models, while adept at delivering individualized material, struggle to understand complicated academic contexts, learning preferences, and the complexities of postgraduate study. By developing more complex algorithms, AI technologies can provide more accurate, context-aware replies, boosting the personalization of educational support (Niu, 2024; Zhang, 2024). These include reputational and ethical dilemmas that the AI dialogue system introduces to research and education. Scholars also spotlight the strengths and weaknesses of generating scientific intelligence and specialized reasoning by AI. They are concerned with the erosion of AI's reliability in citing references, the hallucinatory effects that the system has in selected settings, and the limited reasoning mechanisms for the models (Lee et al.,2023). Similarly, research highlighted that the algorithmic bias is of chief concern, mainly owing to the training datasets, where large language models, such as GPT-4, could run the risk of reinforcing social biases and stereotypes (Grassini, 2023). Studies have also raised concerns about confidentiality as such that AI systems are also indicated to leak personal information inadvertently (Abd-Alrazaq et al., 2023). EFL students have challenges associated to academic honesty (Duhaylungsod & Chavez, 2023). At last, there were transparency concerns from the province of not disclosing AI data origins (Dergaa et al., 2023).

Exploring Multimodal AI Integration

ChatGPT's integration with different AI technologies, such as voice recognition systems, video tutorials, and even virtual reality (VR) or augmented reality (AR), can improve SDL experiences. These could lead to multimodal learning approaches for postgraduate students pursuing multifaceted themes, thereby employing various channels in imparting knowledge-text, audio, video, and interactive experiences. By combining ChatGPT and voice recognition, students can have natural, hands-free conversations about academic topics, asking questions and demanding clarification in real time. Automatically generated video tutorials or webinars could emerge from the student's inquiries exposing him or her to visualization of complex concepts or deeper scrutiny for specific themes. There could also be VR or AR scenarios that will simulate real-life research environments or situations resulting in an immersive

learning experience.

The Resolution of Several Forms and Formats of Learning

Learning styles and preferences are addressed allowing students to obtain materials in the format that corresponds with their needs thus improving participation and enhancing learning-enduring success.

Policy Implications on AI in Education

As AI tools such as ChatGPT are becoming progressively prevalent in educational settings, it is now very clear that regulation needs to have definite coherence and perspective on how to efficiently govern their use. This regulation should provide guidance on ethical use within the academy, especially focusing on how AI tools should further learn but stop short of breaching academic integrity. The crucially here is creating policies advising genuine guidelines based on how AI ought to be utilized in assessments, research, and learning tasks. For example, criteria ought to be laid down that would prevent plagiarism and ensure that students are not merely depending on AI to do the work but instead are fully engaged with the tool to continue their own learning. Governments should more broadly maintain that ChatGPT and other AI tools will not compound existing inequalities or biases within education by emphasizing the presence of annotated evidence in research.

Implications for Educators, Policymakers, and Students

The key message here for educators is that ChatGPT can indeed be a valuable addition to the learning environment, but its adoption needs to be managed appropriately. Educators will need to ensure that students are taught how to use the technology appropriately and that the role of AI is to support—and not replace—core learning activities. This therefore means that postgraduate students have to be trained in the responsible and ethical use of AI tools for its benefits to be maximized. In this respect, clear, ethical norms at the policy level should be set by policymakers on the use of AI in academia, especially in postgraduate education. Such policies have to address issues such as academic integrity and privacy to make sure AI tools support equal opportunities for every learner to improve learning. Bahrini et al 2023

Finally, ChatGPT is a promising channel for self-directed learning but has to be realized in its limits by the students themselves. ChatGPT is to be used by students to enrich your academy experiences while at the same time building essential competencies to achieve the autonomous and intentional learning. Thus, ChatGPT represents a potential opportunity for increasing self-directed learning academic

engagement and individualized learning among postgraduate students. Yet its promise of potentiality needs to be balanced with ethics, proper use rules, and skill capability enhancements (Baber et al., 2024).

Conclusion

Title: The Impact of ChatGPT on Academic Engagement and Personalized Learning of Postgraduate Students ChatGPT represents a paradigm shift that has the potential to drastically change SDL and the educational landscape, primarily among postgraduate students. Harnessing the power of AI, which enables personalized assistance, real-time feedback, and accessibility, ChatGPT has proven to be an excellent tool for graduate students looking for flexible and independent learning opportunities.

The results acknowledge ChatGPT's prosocial cognitive, behavioural, and emotional engagement. Its applications are legion, from brainstorming and

critical thinking, demonstrate its versatility in enhancing academic practices. However, challenges such as over-reliance on AI, ethical concerns, and digital inequities pose significant limitations. Addressing these issues requires a balanced approach that emphasizes the complementary role of ChatGPT alongside traditional teaching methods.

The integration of theoretical frameworks like Self-Determination Theory (SDT) and the Stimulus-Organism-Response (SOR) model provides a deeper understanding of how ChatGPT influences motivation, autonomy, and engagement. While ChatGPT fulfills several dimensions of SDL, it cannot entirely replicate the relational and contextual nuances provided by human educators.

To maximize its potential, educators and policymakers must ensure ethical and equitable implementation of AI tools. Institutions should provide training on responsible AI use, establish policies to uphold academic integrity, and strive to eliminate digital disparities.

In conclusion, ChatGPT represents a significant advancement in educational technology, offering opportunities to redefine how postgraduate students learn and engage academically. While its benefits are profound, realizing its full potential requires careful consideration of its limitations, ethical implications, and the need for supportive educational frameworks. This paper lays the groundwork for future research and policy development to ensure ChatGPT's integration fosters meaningful, inclusive, and effective learning environments.

References

1. Knowles, M. S. (1975). *Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers*. Association Press.

2. Ayeni, O. O., Al Hamad, N. M., Chisom, O. N., Osawaru, B., & Adewusi, O. E. (2024). AI in education: A review of personalized learning and educational technology. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, *18*(2), 261-271.

3. Bernacki, M. L., & Walkington, C. (2018). The role of situational interest in personalized learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *110*(6), 864.

4. Ahmad, S. F., Rahmat, M. K., Mubarik, M. S., Alam, M. M., & Hyder, S. I. (2021). Artificial intelligence and its role in education. Sustainability, 13(22), 12902.

5. Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. Ieee Access, 8, 75264-75278.

6. Pratama, M. P., Sampelolo, R., & Lura, H. (2023). Revolutionizing education: harnessing the power of artificial intelligence for personalized learning. Klasikal: journal of education, language teaching and science, 5(2), 350357.

7. Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. Complexity, 2021, 1-18.

8. Kabudi, T., Pappas, I., & Olsen, D. H. (2021). AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic mapping of the literature. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2, 100017.

9. Azevedo, R., & Cromley, J. G. (2004). Does training on self-regulated learning facilitate students' learning with hypermedia?. *Journal of educational psychology*, *96*(3), 523.

10. Bembenutty, H., Kitsantas, A., & Cleary, T. J. (Eds.). (2013). *Applications of self-regulated learning across diverse disciplines: A tribute to Barry J. Zimmerman*. IAP.

11. Garrison, D. R. (1997). Self-directed learning: Toward a comprehensive model. *Adult education quarterly*, *48*(1), 18-33.

12. Knowles, M. S. (1975). *Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers.* Association Press.

13. Loyens, S. M., Magda, J., & Rikers, R. M. (2008). Self-directed learning in problem-based learning and its relationships with self-regulated learning. *Educational psychology review*, *20*, 411-427.

14. Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory*

into practice, *41*(2), 64-70.

15. Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. Relc Journal, 54(2), 537–550.

16. Xia, Q., Chiu, T. K., Lee, M., Sanusi, I. T., Dai, Y., & Chai, C. S. (2022). A self-determination theory (SDT) design approach for inclusive and diverse artificial intelligence (AI) education. Computers & Education, 189, 104582.

17. Prosekov, A. Y., Morozova, I. S., & Filatova, E. V. (2020). . A case study of developing Research Competency in University students. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 9(3), 592–602.

18. Baidoo-Anu, D., & Ansah, L. O. (2023). Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. Journal of AI, 7(1), 52–62

19. Deng, J., & Lin, Y. (2022). The benefits and challenges of ChatGPT: An overview. Frontiers in Computing and Intelligent Systems, 2(2), 81–83.

20. Rudolph, J., Tan, S., & Tan, S. (2023). ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education? Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching, 6(1), 342–363.

21. Adıgüzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. Contemporary Educational Technology, 15(3).

22. Atlas, S. (2023). ChatGPT for higher education and professional development: A guide to conversational AI. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/cba_facpubs/548

23. Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günnemann, S., & Hüllermeier, E. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274.

24. Rahman, M. M., & Watanobe, Y. (2023). ChatGPT for education and research: Opportunities, threats, and strategies. Applied Sciences, 13(9), 5783.

25. Yang, H., Kim, H., Lee, J. H., & Shin, D. (2022). Implementation of an AI chatbot as an English conversation partner in EFL speaking classes. ReCALL, 34(3), 327–343.

26. Zhai, X. (2022). ChatGPT user experience: Implications for education. Available at SSRN 4312418.

27. Mhlanga, D. (2023). Open AI in education, the responsible and ethical use of ChatGPT towards lifelong learning (. FinTech and Artificial Intelligence for

Sustainable Development: The role of Smart technologies in Achieving Development Goals (pp. 387–409). Springer.

28. Halaweh, M. (2023). ChatGPT in education: Strategies for responsible implementation. Contemporary Educational Technology, 15(2).

29. Dowling, M., & Lucey, B. (2023). ChatGPT for (finance) research: The Bananarama conjecture. Finance Research Letters, 53, 103662.

30. Fiialka, S., Kornieva, Z., & Honchar, T. (2023). ChatGPT in Ukrainian Education: Problems and Prospects. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 18(17).

31. Yu, H. (2023). Reflection on whether Chat GPT should be banned by academia from the perspective of education and teaching. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, 1181712.

32. Dai, Y., Liu, A., & Lim, C. P. (2023). Reconceptualizing ChatGPT and generative AI as a student-driven innovation in higher education. Procedia CIRP, 119, 84–90

33. Shiri, A. (2023). ChatGPT and academic integrity. *Information Matters*, *3*(2).

34. Zhang, L. (2020). *Design, Implementation, and Measurement of Personalized Learning Through the Lens of Universal Design for Learning* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas).

35. Yuyun, I., & Suherdi, D. (2023, May). Components and Strategies for Personalized Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. In *20th AsiaTEFL-68th TEFLIN-5th iNELTAL Conference (ASIATEFL 2022)* (pp. 271-290). Atlantis Press.

36. Zhong, L. (2023). A systematic review of personalized learning in higher education: learning content structure, learning materials sequence, and learning readiness support. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(10), 7053-7073.

37. Alenezi, A. (2023). Personalized learning strategies in higher education in Saudi Arabia: identifying common approaches and conditions for effective implementation. *TEM Journal*, *12*(4).

38. Alamri, H. A., Watson, S., & Watson, W. (2021). Learning technology models that support personalization within blended learning environments in higher education. *TechTrends*, *65*(1), 62-78.

39. Dhananjaya, G. M., Goudar, R. H., Govindaraja, K., Kaliwal, R. B., Rathod, V. K., Deshpande, S. L., ... & Hukkeri, G. S. (2024). Enhancing Education with ChatGPT: Revolutionizing Personalized Learning and Teacher Support. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things*, *10*.

40. Albdrani, R. N., & Al-Shargabi, A. A. (2023). Investigating the Effectiveness of

Sustainable Development: The role of Smart technologies in Achieving Development Goals (pp. 387–409). Springer.

28. Halaweh, M. (2023). ChatGPT in education: Strategies for responsible implementation. Contemporary Educational Technology, 15(2).

29. Dowling, M., & Lucey, B. (2023). ChatGPT for (finance) research: The Bananarama conjecture. Finance Research Letters, 53, 103662.

30. Fiialka, S., Kornieva, Z., & Honchar, T. (2023). ChatGPT in Ukrainian Education: Problems and Prospects. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 18(17).

31. Yu, H. (2023). Reflection on whether Chat GPT should be banned by academia from the perspective of education and teaching. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, 1181712.

32. Dai, Y., Liu, A., & Lim, C. P. (2023). Reconceptualizing ChatGPT and generative AI as a student-driven innovation in higher education. Procedia CIRP, 119, 84–90

33. Shiri, A. (2023). ChatGPT and academic integrity. *Information Matters*, *3*(2).

34. Zhang, L. (2020). *Design, Implementation, and Measurement of Personalized Learning Through the Lens of Universal Design for Learning* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas).

35. Yuyun, I., & Suherdi, D. (2023, May). Components and Strategies for Personalized Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. In *20th AsiaTEFL-68th TEFLIN-5th iNELTAL Conference (ASIATEFL 2022)* (pp. 271-290). Atlantis Press.

36. Zhong, L. (2023). A systematic review of personalized learning in higher education: learning content structure, learning materials sequence, and learning readiness support. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(10), 7053-7073.

37. Alenezi, A. (2023). Personalized learning strategies in higher education in Saudi Arabia: identifying common approaches and conditions for effective implementation. *TEM Journal*, *12*(4).

38. Alamri, H. A., Watson, S., & Watson, W. (2021). Learning technology models that support personalization within blended learning environments in higher education. *TechTrends*, *65*(1), 62-78.

39. Dhananjaya, G. M., Goudar, R. H., Govindaraja, K., Kaliwal, R. B., Rathod, V. K., Deshpande, S. L., ... & Hukkeri, G. S. (2024). Enhancing Education with ChatGPT: Revolutionizing Personalized Learning and Teacher Support. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things*, *10*.

40. Albdrani, R. N., & Al-Shargabi, A. A. (2023). Investigating the Effectiveness of

ChatGPT for Providing Personalized Learning Experience: A Case Study. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Applications*, *14*(11).

41. Al Shloul, T., Mazhar, T., Iqbal, M., yaseen Ghadi, Y., Malik, F., & Hamam, H. (2024). Role of activity-based learning and ChatGPT on students' performance in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 100219.

42. Duong, C. D., Nguyen, T. H., Ngo, T. V. N., Dao, V. T., Do, N. D., & Pham, T. V. (2024). Exploring higher education students' continuance usage intention of ChatGPT: Amalgamation of the information system success model and the stimulus-organism-response paradigm. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*.

43. Lo, C. K., Hew, K. F., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2024). The influence of ChatGPT on student engagement: A systematic review and future research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 105100.

44. Bustamante-Mora, A., Dieguez-Rebolledo, M., Hormazabal, Y., Valdes, Y., & Cadena, R. (2023). Learning models for higher education in engineering: Motivation, self-determination, and the role of information and communication technologies. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), 12869.

45. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68-78.

46. Zhang, C., Zhang, C., Zheng, S., Qiao, Y., Li, C., Zhang, M., et al A complete survey on generative ai (aigc): Is chatgpt from gpt-4 to gpt-5 all you need?. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11717 (2023).

47. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Defnitions, theory, practices, and future directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 61, 101860

48. Chen, K. C., & Jang, S. J. (2010). Motivation in online learning: Testing a model of self-determination theory. Computers in Human Behavior, 26(4), 741–752

49. Chiu, T. K. F. (2021). Digital support for student engagement in blended learning based on self-determination theory. Computers in Human Behavior, 124, 106909

50. Ahmadi, A., Noetel, M., Parker, P. D., Ryan, R., Ntoumanis, N., Reeve, J., & Lonsdale, C. (2023). A classifcation system for teachers' motivational behaviours recommended in self-determination theory interventions. Journal of Education Psychology, Advance Online Publication.

51. Guay, F. (2022). Applying self-determination theory to education: Regulations types, psychological needs, and autonomy supporting behaviors. Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 37(1), 75–92.

52. Zhang, C., Zhang, C., Zheng, S., Qiao, Y., Li, C., Zhang, M., et al A complete survey on generative ai (aigc): Is chatgpt from gpt-4 to gpt-5 all you need ?. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11717 (2023).

53. Huang, K.-L., Liu, Y.-C., Dong, M.-Q., and Lu, C.-C. (2024). Integrating AIGC into product design ideation teaching: an empirical study on self-efficacy and learning outcomes. Learn. Instr. 92:101929. doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.101929

54. Russell, J. A., and Mehrabian, A. (1974). Distinguishing anger and anxiety in terms of emotional response factors. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 42:79.

55. Jacoby, J. (2002). Stimulus-organism-response reconsidered: an evolutionary step in modeling (consumer) behavior. J. Consum. Psychol. 12, 51–57. doi: 10.1207/ S15327663JCP1201_05

56. Chang, C. (2024). User-Type Differential Paths for a Media Effect Model: A Test of Self-Regulation Deficiency in Drama Watching for Different Motive-Driven Users. *Media Psychology*, 1-28.

57. Yadav, A. L., Talwandi, N. S., Kuhar, S., & Kumar, M. (2024, May). Knowledge Navigator–Guiding You Through Your Learning Journey Using AI. In *2024 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Applications (ICCICA)* (Vol. 1, pp. 309-316). IEEE.

58. Tam, L. K. F. (2024). *A field experimental research on the influence of needs-satisfying teaching approaches to students' motivation of using e-learning tool in Hong Kong from the perspective of Self-Determination Theory* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Hong Kong).

59. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. Review of Educational Research, 74(1), 59–109.

60. Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17, 2.

61. Bond, M., & Bedenlier, S. (2019). Facilitating student engagement through educational technology: Towards a conceptual framework. Journal of Interactive

Media in Education, 2019(1), 11

62. Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2021). Student engagement in mathematics flipped classrooms: Implications of journal publications from 2011 to 2020. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, Article 672610.

63. Escalante, J., Pack, A., & Barrett, A. (2023). AI-generated feedback on writing: Insights into efficacy and ENL student preference. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, 57

64. Zhang, P., & Tur, G. (2023). A systematic review of ChatGPT use in K-12 education. European Journal of Education. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12599. Advance online publication.

65. Cai, Q., Lin, Y., & Yu, Z. (2023). Factors influencing learner attitudes towards ChatGPT-Assisted language learning in higher education. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction.

66. * Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, 43

67. Hwang, G. J., & Chang, C. Y. (2023). A review of opportunities and challenges of chatbots in education. Interactive Learning Environments, 31(7), 4099–4112.

68. * Wang, M., Wang, M., Xu, X., Yang, L., Cai, D., & Yin, M. (2023). Unleashing ChatGPT's power: A case study on optimizing information retrieval in flipped classrooms via prompt engineering. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 17, 629–641

69. Vargas-Murillo, A. R., de la Asuncion, I. N. M., & de Jesús Guevara-Soto, F. (2023). Challenges and opportunities of AI-assisted learning: A systematic literature review on the impact of ChatGPT usage in higher education. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 22(7), 122–135

70. Wu, T., He, S., Liu, J., Sun, S., Liu, K., Han, Q. L., & Tang, Y. (2023). A brief overview of ChatGPT: The history, status quo and potential future development. IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, 10(5), 1122–1136

71. Sallam, M. (2023). ChatGPT utility in healthcare education, research, and practice: Systematic review on the promising perspectives and valid concerns. Healthcare, 11(6), 887

72. * Liu, G., & Ma, C. (2024). Measuring EFL learners' use of ChatGPT in informal digital learning of English based on the technology acceptance model. Innovation in

Language Learning and Teaching, 18(2), 125–138

73. Jo, H. (2023a). Decoding the ChatGPT mystery: A comprehensive exploration of factors driving AI language model adoption. Information Development

74. Hein, G. E. (1991, October 15). Constructivist learning theory. Exploratorium.

75. Vogels, E. A. (2021, August 19). Some digital divides persist between rural, urban and suburban America. Pew Research Center.

76. Zajda, J. (2021). Constructivist learning theory and creating effective learning environments. In J. Zajda (Ed.), Globalisation and education reforms: Creating effective learning environments (pp. 35-50).

77. Schuh, K. L. (2003). Knowledge construction in the learnercentered classroom. Journal of Educational Psychology, 95(2), 426-442.

78. Popenici, S. A., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 12(1), 1-13.

79. Pavlik, J. V. (2023). Collaborating with ChatGPT: Considering the implications of generative artificial intelligence for journalism and media education. Journalism & Mass Communication Educator, 78(1)

80. Lim, W. M., Gunasekara, A., Pallant, J. L., Pallant, J. I., & Pechenkina, E. (2023). Generative AI and the future of education: Ragnarök or reformation? A paradoxical perspective from management educators. The International Journal of Management Education, 21(2), 100790

81. Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M. Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D... & Wright, R. (2023). So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 71, 102642.

82. Zhuo, T. Y., Huang, Y., Chen, C., & Xing, Z. (2023). Exploring AI ethics of ChatGPT: A diagnostic analysis.

83. Karim, R. (2023, February 13). ChatGPT: Old AI problems in a new guise, new problems in disguise. Monash University.

84. Hemsley, B., Power, E., & Given, F. (2023, January 19). Will AI tech like ChatGPT improve inclusion for people with communication disability? The Conversation.

85. El Soufi, N., & See, B. H. (2019). Does explicit teaching of critical thinking improve critical thinking skills of English language learners in higher education? A critical review of causal evidence. *Studies in educational evaluation*, *60*, 140-162.

86. Facione, N. C., & Facione, P. A. (1996). Externalizing the critical thinking in knowledge development and clinical judgment. *Nursing Outlook*, *44*(3), 129-136.

87. McPeck, J. E. (2016). *Critical thinking and education*. Routledge.

88. Dergaa, I., Chamari, K., Zmijewski, P., & Saad, H. B. (2023). From human writing to artificial intelligence generated text: examining the prospects and potential threats of ChatGPT in academic writing. *Biology of sport*, *40*(2), 615-622.

89. Malik, A. A., Ahmed, N., Shafiq, M., Elharake, J. A., James, E., Nyhan, K., ... & Omer, S. B. (2023). Behavioral interventions for vaccination uptake: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Policy*, *137*, 104894.

90. Marzuki, Widiati, U., Rusdin, D., Darwin, & Indrawati, I. (2023). The impact of AI writing tools on the content and organization of students' writing: EFL teachers' perspective. *Cogent Education*, *10*(2), 2236469.

91. Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, *11*(1), 28.

92. Lee, P., Bubeck, S., & Petro, J. (2023). Benefts, limits, and risks of gpt-4 as an ai chatbot for medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 388(13), 1233–1239

93. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2023). Artifcial intelligence in education. In. Globethics Publications.

94. Grassini, S. (2023). Shaping the future of education: Exploring the potential and consequences of ai and chatgpt in educational settings. Education Sciences, 13(7), 692.

95. Abd-Alrazaq, A., AlSaad, R., Alhuwail, D., Ahmed, A., Healy, P. M., Latif, S., Aziz, S., Damseh, R., Alrazak, S. A., & Sheikh, J. (2023). Large language models in medical education: Opportunities, challenges, and future directions. JMIR Medical Education, 9(1), e48291.

96. Dergaa, I., Chamari, K., Zmijewski, P., & Saad, H. B. (2023). From human writing to artifcial intelligence generated text: Examining the prospects and potential threats of chatgpt in academic writing. Biology of Sport, 40(2), 615–622.

97. Duhaylungsod, A. V., & Chavez, J. V. (2023). Chatgpt and other ai users: Innovative and creative utilitarian value and mindset shift. Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture, 33, 4367–4378.

98. Dergaa, I., Chamari, K., Zmijewski, P., & Saad, H. B. (2023). From human writing to artifcial intelligence generated text: Examining the prospects and potential threats of chatgpt in academic writing. Biology of Sport, 40(2), 615–622.

99. Zhang, L., & Wu, Z. (2024). Enhancing Postgraduate Academic Writing Skills Through Course Reform: an Action Research Study. *Social Education Research*, 217-233.

100. Lin, Y., Chen, H., Xia, W., Lin, F., Wang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2023). A comprehensive survey on deep learning techniques in educational data mining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.04761*.

101. Mao, S., Zhang, C., Song, Y., Wang, J., Zeng, X. J., Xu, Z., & Wen, Q. (2024). Time series analysis for education: Methods, applications, and future directions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.13960*.

102. Villalobos, E., Pérez-Sanagustín, M., & Broisin, J. (2024, July). From Learning Actions to Dynamics: Characterizing Students' Individual Temporal Behavior with Sequence Analysis. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education* (pp. 3-17). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

103. Lin, J., Thomas, D. R., Han, Z., Tan, W., Nguyen, N. D., Gupta, S., ... & Koedinger, K. R. (2023). Personalized Learning Squared (PLUS): Doubling Math Learning through AI-assisted Tutoring.

104. Kumar, S., Rao, P., Singhania, S., Verma, S., & Kheterpal, M. (2024). Will artificial intelligence drive the advancements in higher education? A tri-phased exploration. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *201*, 123258.

105. Kumar, S., Rao, P., Singhania, S., Verma, S., & Kheterpal, M. (2024). Will artificial intelligence drive the advancements in higher education? A tri-phased exploration. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *201*, 123258.

106. Rasul, T., Nair, S., Kalendra, D., Robin, M., de Oliveira Santini, F., Ladeira, W. J., ... & Heathcote, L. (2023). The role of ChatGPT in higher education: Benefits, challenges, and future research directions. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, *6*(1), 41-56.

107. Li, B., Lowell, V. L., Wang, C., & Li, X. (2024). A systematic review of the first year of publications on ChatGPT and language education: Examining research on ChatGPT's use in language learning and teaching. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 100266.

108. Bahrini, A., Khamoshifar, M., Abbasimehr, H., Riggs, R. J., Esmaeili, M.,

Majdabadkohne, R. M., & Pasehvar, M. (2023, April). ChatGPT: Applications, opportunities, and threats. In *2023 Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium (SIEDS)* (pp. 274-279). IEEE.

109.   Baber, H., Nair, K., Gupta, R., & Gurjar, K. (2024). The beginning of ChatGPT–a systematic and bibliometric review of the literature. *Information and Learning Sciences*, *125*(7/8), 587-614.