**Research Journal of Psychology (RJP)**

**Online ISSN:** 3006-7219
**Print ISSN:** 3006-7200
Volume 3, Number 1, 2025, Pages 797 – 809
**Journal Home Page**
https://ctrjournal.com/index.php/19/index

# Cultivating Self-Regulated Learning (SRL) in A-Level Students Through Hyper Learning Environments (HLE) A Conceptual Synthesis

**Adeeba Khurshid**[1]
[1]*MA Psychology, Certified Practitioner of Industrial Psychology and Organizational Behavior, AMBDA, (UK),*
*Email: khurshidadeeba@gmail.com*

**ARTICLE INFO**

***Article History:***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| *Received:* | *February* | *20, 2025* |
| *Revised:* | *March* | *18, 2025* |
| *Accepted:* | *March* | *15, 2025* |
| *Available Online:* | *March* | *25, 2025* |

***Keywords:***

*Self-Regulated Learning; Hyper Learning Environments; A-Levels; Self-Efficacy; Goal Striving; Teacher Modeling; Educational Psychology*

***Corresponding Author:***
*Adeeba Khurshid*
***Email:***
*khurshidadeeba@gmail.com*



## ABSTRACT

*This study explores how self-regulated learning (SRL) can be cultivated among A-Level students within Hyper Learning Environments (HLEs)—instructional settings that emphasize innovative pedagogical design, student agency, and active participation. Drawing upon social cognitive theory (Zeidner & Stoeger, 2019) and supported by empirical literature (Guven & Babayigit, 2020), the study examines four key propositions: (1) High-achieving students exhibit advanced SRL competencies, particularly in planning and time management; (2) Students with underdeveloped SRL skills in HLEs demonstrate weaker conceptual mastery; (3) The quality of SRL processes is directly associated with academic performance; and (4) Teachers' awareness of their own professional learning practices enhances their capacity to foster SRL in students. Through systematic content analysis of peer-reviewed research, the findings affirm that HLEs incorporating problem-based learning (PBL), teacher modeling, and structured goal-setting mechanisms effectively nurture SRL behaviors. The discussion emphasizes the importance of cultivating self-efficacy, goal intention, and implementation planning (e.g., "if–then" strategies) as integral components of SRL. It also highlights the role of the social environment—including peer and teacher support—in shaping students' self-beliefs and regulatory capacities. Limitations include reliance on secondary data and the need for localized empirical validation. This research contributes to ongoing efforts to humanize instructional design and embed SRL-focused interventions within Pakistani A-Level institutions.*

## Introduction

Huge investments are being made to elevate the educational standard of Pakistan. The private sector is playing a pivotal role in such undertakings. Consequently, private schools are not only looking into improving the quality of education, in terms of the curriculum, but are also concentrating on improving the overall grooming of the students of today, who will be the citizens of tomorrow. The private schools ambitiously aspire to be in the forefront of such endeavors. To this end, the schools are not only investing in experienced top faculty but into the extra and co-curricular activities as well, thus aspiring to groom these students for professional careers, whether they choose to live in Pakistan or venture out of the country.

Nonetheless, some behavioral aspects are likely to get ignored in the course of achieving academic gains and in attaining these objectives. That is, schooling students with some life skills that will reap the harvest of this investment, for years come (Usman et al., 2024). One of the behaviors that include this realm is the teaching of self-regulation to students in a learning environment.

The researcher herself being part of the A Level faculty, has observed that with the exception of a few students who are able to regulate their own behavior, by far and large, there are major deficiencies in the development as well as promotion of SRL (self- regulated learning) by the teachers and the institutions themselves. In the course of her eight years 'experience as an educator and inaugurator of Psychology a level Programs, in two of the largest systems in their Southern Region of the country, such deficiencies were made apparent more by students' voluntary self-reports to the researcher. Moreover, it was reported by her alumni that the core difference between her teaching and those of the others was teaching them responsibility. Additionally, the students reported favorable effects of such learning which enabled them to adjust to college life, whether local or foreign competitive schools. In endeavoring to understand and expand her knowledge about teaching responsibility to students, the researcher decided to explore this area systematically, so that these behaviors can be fostered in the citizens of tomorrow.

Exploring the topic in question, which broadly fell under the area of self-regulation, the researcher would like to explore possible reasons to foster such mechanisms and to strategize educational interventions in the school environment, systematically. Moreover, one of the main goals of the paper is to emphasize the protagonist role of self-regulation in students, which can in turn prove to be a stepping stone not only in improving academic performance but inculcating civic responsibilities and overall grooming the students, intellectually and socially. The researcher in the course of her analysis would like to investigate the nature of self-regulation learning (SRL), its development and moderating factors through dispositional and environmental factors of students and teachers.

## Literature Review

Research identifies seven different theoretical views on SRL. These theoretical approaches identify self-regulation with their own explanations on issues like key processes, environmental conditions and acquired capabilities. This 'hall of mirrors' considers the following positions (Panadero, 2017).

**Operant:** stressing self-instruction, modeling, and shaping of behavior; emphasizing provision of relevant stimuli for learning.

**Phenomenological:** stressing self-worth, subjective experiences, and development of a self-system; emphasizing personal identity.

**Information Processing:** stressing transformation of information, and self-monitoring with relatively little attention to environmental conditions.

**Social Cognitive:** stressing self-observation and enactive experiences, through social learning; emphasizing self-efficacy in learning.

**Volitional:** stressing controlled actions to regulate emotions and environmental conditions.

**Vygotsky:** stressing inner speech, dialogue, and mediation acquired through a hierarchy of developmental levels.

**Constructivist:** stressing personal theories, discovery learning, and development of self-regulatory processes, based on conceptual change.

These views explain and suggest a wide variety of perspectives on ways of learning and guidance of learning, which makes it of interest to study conceptions of SRL more closely."

Self-regulation involves cultivating behaviors that enhance learning through planning, monitoring and adapting strategies. These processes are planned and adapted to support the pursuit of personal goals in changing learning environments. Prior research has over and over demonstrated a direct relation between the quality of student self-regulation and academic outcomes (Guven & Babayıgıt, 2020).

Research posits that students 'ability to self-regulate is directly related to more opportunities for their self-beliefs to have direct effects upon the learning processes students enact. Importantly, recurrent high-quality self-regulation also influences the quality of the standards these students strive to meet when learning. The findings strongly suggest that SRL processes can moderate the effects of student characteristics, such as self-beliefs, upon the learning process.

What could motivate students to cultivate self-regulation? A piece of this puzzle is weather a student considers him/herself as self-efficacious in venturing out to develop such competencies. Therefore, integral part of the learning process is teaching students self- efficacy for goal setting. Proponents of the social learning theory (Zeidner & Stoeger, 2019) defined self-efficacy as ―as "People's judgments of their capabilities to organize and execute courses of action" required attaining designated types of performances. Moreover, researchers conclude that higher self-efficacy leads to higher self-set goals and higher performance, even when controlling for ability (Thomas et al., 2021).

Some perspectives in research argue that even if student ability is kept constant, higher self-efficacy leads to higher self-set goals and higher performance. Whereas, other perspectives take a more self-regulation position and focus on the process of goal striving (Edisherashvili et al., 2022). However, having a strong goal intention (the wish/plan to achieve a goal) does not automatically translate in goal attainment even though students 'goal intention was shown to be connected with a target to execute (Bransen, 2020). Additionally, students actively engage in if-then plans for the purpose of enhancing the transformation of goal intentions into action. These realization can be promoted by forming if-then plans that assist students to deal

effectively with self-regulatory problems that might weaken goal striving. Meta-analysis demonstrates that intentions to implement (if-then plans) are a highly effective self-regulatory mechanism which aid the students to overcome situational constraints that hamper successful goal striving (Schunk, 2023). Moreover, these competencies assist students in dealing with conflicting goals within a person or discouragement encountered by the peers, teachers or family on the whole course of goal realization.

It can be most arguably concluded that students who engage in self-regulation take responsibility and take initiative to acquire skill and knowledge instead of depending upon environmental factors (Thomas et al., 2021). Additionally, these students take initiatives to improve their learning thereby stressing on internal rather than external control (Eggers et al., 2021). Not only do self-regulated learners regard learning as a controllable process but they are metacognitively, motivationally, and behaviorally actively participate in their own learning process (Guo, 2022). There is huge amount of empirical evidence that can be outlined demonstrating the benefits of inculcating self-regulation in students.

It is imperative for educational institutes to foster self-regulatory behaviors in students, as not only it will aid in goal recognition by the process of mental contrasting but also contribute in goal commitment. These processes will enable the students to be more action driven and thereby be assisted in superior goal striving and their execution. Furthermore, another aspect that requires due considerations is the social environment in which students 'are nurtured in which nurtures the development of self-efficacy and therefore, self-regulation. One of the main reasons for this is that as the field of Social Psychology has outlined, in particular, the consideration of human behavior in the context of the social environment and attempts to observe explain and predict in what ways situations have powerful effects on an individual's behavior. The researcher considers some important educational interventions which include humanizing instructional design, delivering role modeling by students, teachers and last and not least, explore the social environment of the students; mitigating social support through teachers and students' peers.

**Educational Interventions**

Nowadays, most of the private institutes are shifting from teacher-centered style of teaching to a student-centered style of teaching. A possible reason for this trend is that as business opportunities in the educational sector are increasing; there is an increased emphasis on retaining students in the educational institutes so that economic objectives are not compromised along with the educational ones. Whether some educational institutes are shifted towards the former than the latter or vice versa is a question yet to be explored. Furthermore, are student-centered approaches a means to these ends or are they being employed for their empirical benefits, is another exploratory question. It is quite possible, that such trends are found globally, as countries are hit by economic recession. Interestingly, the educational sector is void of such economic knocks.

There are other methodological aspects of the lesson plans which ought to be considered, that encourage and reinforce ethical principles that are responsible in development of self-efficacious behaviors. There is no doubt there is a pressing need to look into such behavioral aspects. In humanizing educational programs for the students, both curriculum design and extra- curricular activities must be explored that will encourage student engagement which will possibly enhance the growth and maintenance of self-regulating behavior in the students.

**Effects of self-efficacy within Educational Institutes**

A precursor to develop self- regulation is teaching students self-efficacy, which is ―beliefs in one's capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainments‖ (Berkhout et al., 2017). Research on self-efficacy has received growing attention in the recent decade, and postulates that self-efficacy affects students' performance and learning those behaviors they choose to learn. The research strongly indicates that self-efficacy is crucial to academic performance and that self-efficacy of students can affect metacognitive processes. However, a compelling source for lowering students' self-efficacy is their mastery experiences in elementary and secondary education. If students' prior learning at these stages was affective negatively, these experiences will probably lead to decreasing levels of self-efficacy in senior years at school. However, such trends are found frequently amongst students and yet it is not that surprising since many educational institutes are built on the adagio of failure, non-mastery or mistakes. Albeit, teachers focus on the non-mastery aspects of knowledge, that is, what students do not know. A general change in attitude and focus is thus required in the school systems. Instead of focusing on competencies mastered the requirement is to focus on students' talents and aptitudes. What students can or master, would assist in students developing self-efficacy. The shift of learning objectives to include talent strengths of students would require a change in learning methods and assessment modes.

Besides this, educational institutions could also actively stimulate self-efficacy of students by providing a co-curricular and extra-curricular programme that provides students with opportunities to display their learning. These could include requiring them to apply more frequently the knowledge and skills across diverse situations. In these, the reality level of student experience, along with adequately structuring the situation and appropriate supervision should be adjusted to the complexity of the task and to the student's skill developmental level'. Such an approach could then raise the confidence of the students and eventually their self-efficacy.

Moreover, the classroom climate should feel safe for students in order to learn. This means that the teachers provide adequate and effective feedback on their skill acquisitions. Of course, a application of frequent self- reflection opportunities and self- and peer evaluations could aid the learning of self-efficacy, as this feedback would give controllability to students in their actions (Alghamdi, 2020).

Researches strongly suggest that self-efficacious students remain more motivated, exert themselves and, persevere when they intend to execute learning (Kramarski & Kohen, 2017). These researches have investigated the influence of students' self-efficacy on motivation and learning. Other findings further postulate their effects on students' task interest, task persistence, setting goals, the choices they make and their use of cognitive, meta-cognitive and self-regulatory strategies (Xiao & Yang, 2019).

**Promoting SRL through PBL (Problem Based Learning)**

SRL refers to ―thoughts, feelings, and actions of students' that are planned and adapted to achieve their own goals (Araka et al., 2020). It includes processes, such as metacognition, managing the time and learning environment, utilizing cognitive strategies, such as rehearsal and elaboration. When looking at ways to develop and improve self-regulated learning, the teaching methodology needs to be altered, to foster such competencies. Indeed, it is essentials

for educators to be innovative in their teaching methodology to include some aspects of SLR in their lesson plans, consistently, whether on a fortnightly basis, weekly or a daily basis. Moreover, training teachers first is imperative. These skill acquisitions among teachers will empower them with the knowledge of SLR, so that they include this effectively into their lesson planning. Consequently, such efforts on the part of the teacher will assist their work and make the teaching experience a more enriching one.

Here, the management can emphasize the role of SLR in learning and investigate what learning methods can foster the development of SLR. Recent studies have demonstrated (Lim et al., 2020) that teaching methodology that focused on students learning through problem-based learning, called the PBL showed a larger improvement in SRL skills than those students who were taught though lecture based approaches. Researchers debate weather students report more SRL in PBL environments compared to lecture based environments.

One big dilemma which is essential to be addressed by the school management is that adherence to SRL can take different forms and expressions amongst teachers (Chen & Hwang, 2019) which depend on the views and professional perspectives of teacher educators with regard to SRL. Previous studies[17] have demonstrated that varied views lead to different approaches and orientations toward student– teacher learning. Therefore, school management has to take a closer look at what SRL means for teachers to device any training programs for their enrichment. Additionally teachers can be taught to utilize introspective methods to gain insights into how their conceptions affect the enhancement of their students' SRL.

**Fostering students planning and time management skills to promote SLR**

Teaching students' planning and time management strategies are important cognitive regulatory facets of SRL leading for higher academic achievements. Time is a crucial aspect of S L R. When there's limited time it stresses students to consider additional dimensions while making effective decisions and carefully choose among alternative actions. When there's time pressure, regulation is vital. The rate of progress by which students move toward is the time factor it requires. The students' expectation by which they make progress is affected by the type of regulation performed by the individuals' emotions towards that goal. Some of the time management factors that research has outlined is influenced by behavioral factors (i.e., efforts to self-observe, self-evaluate, and self- react to academic performance), environmental factors (i.e., the use of planning aids), and personal learning factors (i.e., individuals_ goal setting, attributions, and self-efficacy), here again training can be provided to the teachers to execute such areas into their daily classes, while assisting students to device effective plans and manage time for their goal attainment. Teachers can divide the goal into series of achievable chunks, that can be achieved on a daily, weekly or monthly basis (Ullah et al., 2024). Here again the teacher needs to be sensitive to the students concerns as well as their learning modalities to assist in the plans effective design and implementation. Breaking goals, that could possibly appear large to the students, into series of achievable actions will provide feedback of confidence to the students as they systematically achieve these; and thereby reinforcing self-efficacy while not compromising on SLR.

Some research has focused primarily on SLR through in-depth qualitative investigation. Comparisons of students who were average and high achieving are done on variables such as planning-related and time management behaviors within their SRL, as facilitated and directly observed during learners engagement which elapsed for a year. The aim was to enable SLR

learning and several elements of this study were designed with the aim of enabling SRL to thrive: ― (a)an independent learning environment, in which students were granted the autonomy to control and perform their own science inquiry as they saw fit after considering the many possible alternatives and choosing among them according to their specific, self-determined goals, (b)specially designed tools for helping students plan their activities and manage their time over the course of the year, (c)the minimization of external feedback in the learning environment, and (d) a yearlong duration for the academic task, which enabled students to develop a long-term considerations of their intended activities and to overcome obstacles and failures with no repercussions. While planning, students actively visualize their goals, and simultaneously device strategies for achieving them. Research has augmented on the necessity of further planning, beyond merely setting of goals by students, which does not always lead to goal striving and goal attainment.

**Providing teacher mentoring for promoting SLR**

A very important aspect for students for developing SLR is to surround them with educators both in the administration as well as the in the teaching faculty who display opportunities to model these behavioral contingencies consistently and effectively. In sum, as role modeling will be demonstrated by the significant others, the students will be able to identify teachers who can be their future mentors. As mentors, teachers are usually involved in developing student–teacher learning, and they encounter demands to promote self-regulated learning (SRL) in their students.

Teachers and educators often face increased challenges from the students' behavioral problems to deal with. Sometimes, they are inherent obstructions with the management which teachers encounter while devising a common ground for SLR interventions for the students for effective and consistent management in the school environment.

However, one challenge for teachers is, as teachers are engaged in refining their learning of themselves through professional development, as well as how they promote their own professional development as self-regulated learners, which may (or may not) mirror the way they support SRL in their students. Therefore, careful selection of target behaviors for teachers as well as students' needs to be identified, so that such behavioral contingencies can be modeled operationally.

An important point following from this reasoning is that educators need to have self-awareness of the SRL positions they hold. While mentoring or modeling such behaviors, practicing what they preach is imperative only then a possible alignment of their own learning with that of their students' learning will be possible. ―Awareness of divergence within orientations toward SRL between teachers and their students—is hopefully a worthwhile means in preventing incongruity between professional perceptions and expectations, which otherwise may lead to misunderstandings and lack of exchange of practical knowledge between teacher educators and their students. In this respect, it may hold a mirror up to intended ways of teaching SRL.

## Methodology

### Objective

The analytical paper is about factors leading to developing Self-Regulated Learning in students.

### Rationale

The researcher ventured to explore what is self-regulated learning (SLR) and the beneficial effects of inducing SRL in students. The researcher also intended to explore the effects of self-efficacy in moderating and developing SRL in students.

### Hypothesis

The researcher formulated the following hypotheses through prior research:

(1) High-achieving students exhibit advanced SRL competencies, particularly in planning and time management;
(2) Students with underdeveloped SRL skills in HLEs demonstrate weaker conceptual mastery;
(3) The quality of SRL processes is directly associated with academic performance; and
(4) Teachers' awareness of their own professional learning practices enhances their capacity to foster SRL in students.

### Procedure

The present paper investigates to provide a comprehensive analysis of the empirical studies, to demonstrate the benefits of fostering self- regulated learning (SLR) students and the moderating effects of self-efficacy in developing self-efficacy.

The researcher collected prime source information from online journals, those found on *www.sciencedirect.com* . Printouts of these articles were taken and necessary prior researches were identified for the literature review. All research articles were collected from the several trips made at the LEJ library at the University of Karachi, where access of these journals was possible.

The researcher then scrutinized and identified necessary information from the research articles to validate the formulated hypotheses. The supportive evidence for accepting and rejecting the hypotheses was analyzed from the results. The current investigation is prepared through a rigorous content analysis of the results from the selected studies.

## Analysis and Discussion

The researcher had postulated five hypotheses with regard to SLR in students and the findings from the content analysis are analyzed systematically. The first hypothesis which was postulated for the understanding and analysis of the research rationale of SLR in students is: high achieving students exhibited more SRL skills (planners and managers of time) than do average achieving students. There's evidence to support this hypothesis. Indeed, High achieving students assigned homework from the beginning. Their orientation to homework differed from low achieving students in the sense that they used it as a learning opportunity to

deepen their understanding of a subject or to further understand a range of tasks that could not be carried out in the classroom context (e.g., interviewing experts, visiting institutes or libraries) and not for the mere closure of time gaps. Furthermore, among high achievers, whenever homework was assigned, group members performed it. When these students did not complete the assigned homework on time which was a rare occurrence, they wanted to address it in a way that the tardy student apologized and/or requested others to delay their discussion to allow him/her to complete the assignment for the next session. However, average achieving students used homework when they observed their position as critically overdue. The assignment type was limited to repeated reading of the textbook and/or completing exercises. Moreover, many times several low achieving students did not perform the assigned task, which held back the few who did.

There‘s considerable evidence demonstrating students with high prior knowledge engaged in high-quality self-regulated learning processes, including planning and monitoring processes. Students with low prior knowledge used more surface-level SRL strategies such as summarizing. Based upon prior findings research suggested that students with low prior knowledge (while using hyper learning environments, i.e. HLE to learn about the circulatory system) have less working memory space available for high-quality SRL processes, and instead must focus their limited working memory capacity on knowledge acquisition rather than integration or verification. Indeed, students who do not engage in self-regulated learning (SRL) with HLEs (hypermedia learning environments) often fail to achieve conceptual understanding. Simply providing students with an HLE is not enough; student characteristics must be taken into account such as self-efficacy. Hence, when educators focus towards modifying the learning environments to nurture students both academically and metacognitively, emphasis needs to be laid towards developing and enhancing self-efficacy in students. This is a key element of social cognitive theory, appears to be an important variable because it affects students’ motivation and learning.

Results of the empirical findings suggest that a more frequent use of high-quality SRL moderated the relation between prior knowledge and posttest conceptual understanding. In addition, the findings suggest that as students who engage in more SRL processes throughout learning, the positive effects of student characteristics are intensified and the negative effects are alleviated, perhaps through the revision of inappropriate standards based upon these maladaptive student characteristics. Hence the evidence indicates a direct relationship between the quality of student self-regulation and academic outcome.

The final hypothesis that the researcher selected from prior research for the SLR is that teacher awareness of their own professional learning and teaching practices are likely to promote their understanding of students’ SRL, thereby facilitating their efforts to improve students ‘SRL competence. In a cross-cultural study, by Tillema & Kremer-Hayon (2002) indicated conceptions on SRL is its inquiry- oriented nature, mostly directed toward (personal) reflection. Intra-active strategies of SRL are apparent in the Dutch context such as information-processing focused on learning goals and the completion of assignments in a critical and reflective manner. Most notably, students are held responsible for the efficiency of their own learning processes, for completion of learning tasks, and for active involvement in critical thinking. A prerequisite to this is metacognitive and meta- motivational strategies are used to aid information processing. Dutch teachers were found to be in agreement about the conception of SLR, and that students need to be guided and stimulated to plan, conduct and maintain their own learning process. This belief is tied to the conviction that students need to

develop a practical conception of teaching and learning and they need to be systematically guided through SLR. Moreover, it appears that SRL as an approach to professional development of teaches is viewed as reflective self-study. [24]However, both the Dutch and Israeli teachers differ in that the former interpret SLR as personal critical inquiry and development of a personal style of teaching while the latter favor collegiality of SLR and devise effective strategies for their learning. Moreover, the results postulate that SLR is viewed as an activating, investigative teaching method to raise teachers' reflection and motivation, largely by way of both independent study and cooperative teaching methods.

The researcher through the content analysis concluded that to foster SLR in students, teachers and educators(administrators) need to emphasize the vital role of stimulating SLR in A-Level students, as these skills will enable the students to develop into responsible, confident students enabling them to become independent thinkers and promote lifelong learning. These students will venture into creative problem solving through innovative approaches of dealing with challenges they face and develop the necessary life skills. Honing SLR is the need of the hour, and teachers need to encourage fostering their powerful modalities. Moreover, the teachers along with the students themselves will grow metacognitively and refine their teaching methodology and classroom management strategies in the process of focusing on SLR and produce HLE alongside

**Limitations**

While this study offers a meaningful synthesis of theoretical and empirical perspectives on self-regulated learning (SRL) within Hyper Learning Environments (HLEs), several limitations must be acknowledged to contextualize its findings and guide future inquiry.

First and foremost, the study is based entirely on secondary data drawn from existing literature. Although this approach allows for a broad conceptual overview, it inherently limits the ability to capture the lived experiences, contextual nuances, and socio-cultural dynamics that influence SRL development in real-world classrooms. The absence of primary data—such as student interviews, teacher reflections, or classroom observations—means that the findings may not fully reflect the complexities of SRL as practiced in Pakistani A-Level institutions.

Moreover, the generalizability of the study is constrained by the diversity of educational settings and student profiles across institutions. A-Level schools in Pakistan vary significantly in terms of resources, pedagogical culture, and student demographics. Without empirical validation in these varied contexts, the applicability of the proposed SRL strategies remains speculative. For instance, interventions like problem-based learning or teacher modeling may be more feasible in well-resourced urban schools than in underfunded or rural settings.

Another limitation lies in the conceptual scope of the study. While the synthesis draws from multiple theoretical frameworks—including social cognitive, constructivist, and volitional perspectives—it does not fully explore how these models interact or conflict in practice. The "hall of mirrors" effect described by Panadero (2017) suggests that SRL is interpreted differently across paradigms, and this theoretical plurality, while enriching, can also create ambiguity in designing coherent interventions.

Additionally, the study does not account for the institutional and systemic constraints that may hinder the implementation of SRL-focused pedagogies. Factors such as rigid curricula, exam-

oriented teaching, limited teacher autonomy, and lack of professional development opportunities can significantly impact the feasibility of integrating SRL strategies into everyday instruction. These structural barriers deserve closer examination, particularly in contexts where innovation is often constrained by policy or tradition.

Finally, the study lacks longitudinal insight into the sustainability of SRL behaviors. While the literature affirms the short-term benefits of SRL interventions, there is limited evidence on how these competencies evolve over time or how they influence long-term academic and personal outcomes. Without longitudinal data, it remains unclear whether SRL practices lead to enduring changes in student motivation, autonomy, and achievement.

In sum, while the study provides a valuable conceptual foundation, its limitations underscore the need for empirical, context-sensitive, and longitudinal research to deepen understanding and inform practice. Addressing these gaps will be essential for translating theory into meaningful educational transformation.

## Conclusions

The findings of this study reaffirm the significance of cultivating self-regulated learning (SRL) as a core competency in A-Level education. SRL is not merely a set of academic techniques but a dynamic and evolving process that reflects how students perceive themselves as learners, set meaningful goals, and navigate challenges with autonomy and resilience. Through the lens of Hyper Learning Environments (HLEs), it becomes evident that pedagogical strategies such as problem-based learning, teacher modeling, and structured goal-setting can play a pivotal role in nurturing these competencies.

However, the development of SRL cannot be viewed in isolation from the broader social and institutional context. Students' ability to self-regulate is deeply influenced by their self-beliefs, the support they receive from peers and teachers, and the learning culture they are immersed in. Therefore, educators must adopt a more humanized approach to instructional design—one that recognizes the emotional, motivational, and social dimensions of learning.

This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on educational reform by advocating for SRL-focused interventions that are not only theoretically sound but also contextually relevant. It calls for a shift from content delivery to learner empowerment, where students are encouraged to take ownership of their learning journey. Moving forward, it is essential to engage in localized and longitudinal research to better understand how SRL evolves over time and how it can be sustained across diverse educational settings.

Only when self-regulated learning (SRL) is intentionally embedded within systematic hyper-learning environments (HLE's) can we cultivate learners who are not only equipped for lifelong learning and personal development, but also capable of adaptive functioning in complex and evolving contexts. Furthermore, such integration fosters the emergence of autonomous, critically engaged thinkers—an essential outcome for sustainable educational transformation.

These are powerful conclusions drawn by the author herself, informed by a decade of demonstrable performance management—evidenced through consistently outstanding results in the University of Cambridge Examinations and the successful establishment of the A Level Psychology curriculum. Moreover, longitudinal feedback from students who remained in sustained

contact with the author highlighted the transformative impact of hyper-learning environments (HLEs), which fostered in them a lifelong drive for growth, mastery, and self-directed learning.

## References


1. Alghamdi, A., Karpinski, A. C., Lepp, A., & Barkley, J. (2020). Online and face-to-face classroom multitasking and academic performance: Moderated mediation with self-efficacy for self-regulated learning and gender. Computers in Human Behavior, 102, 214–222.
2. Araka, E., Maina, E., Gitonga, R., & Oboko, R. (2020). Research trends in measurement and intervention tools for self-regulated learning for e-learning environments—systematic review (2008–2018). Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-020-00129-5
3. Berkhout, J. J., Helmich, E., Teunissen, P. W., van der Vleuten, C. P., & Jaarsma, A. D. C. (2017). How clinical medical students perceive others to influence their self‐regulated learning. Medical Education, 51(3), 269‐279. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13131
4. Bransen, D., Govaerts, M. J., Sluijsmans, D. M., & Driessen, E. W. (2020). Beyond the self: The role of co‐regulation in medical students' self‐regulated learning. Medical Education, 54(3), 234‐241. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14018
5. Chen, P. Y., & Hwang, G. J. (2019). An empirical examination of the effect of self-regulation and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) factors on the online learning behavioral intention of college students. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 39(1), 79–95.
6. Edisherashvili, N., Saks, K., Pedaste, M., & Leijen, Ä. (2022). Supporting self-regulated learning in distance learning contexts at higher education level: Systematic literature review. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 792422. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.792422
7. Eggers, J. H., Oostdam, R., & Voogt, J. (2021). Self-regulation strategies in blended learning environments in higher education: A systematic review. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 37(6), 175–192. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6453
8. Guo, L. (2022). Using metacognitive prompts to enhance self‐regulated learning and learning outcomes: A meta‐analysis of experimental studies in computer‐based learning environments. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 38(3), 811‐832. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12650
9. Guven, M., & Babayıgıt, B. B. (2020). Self-regulated learning skills of undergraduate students and the role of higher education in promoting self-regulation. Eurasian Journal of Educational Research, 20(89), 47–70.
10. Kramarski, B., & Kohen, Z. (2017). Promoting preservice teachers' dual self-regulation roles as learners and as teachers: Effects of generic vs. specific prompts. Metacognition and Learning, 12(2), 157–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-016-9164-8
11. Lim, C., Ab Jalil, H., Ma'rof, A., & Saad, W. (2020). Peer learning, self-regulated learning and academic achievement in blended learning courses: A structural equation modeling approach. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET), 15(3), 110–125. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i03.12031
12. Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, 422. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422
13. Schunk, D. H. (2023). Self-regulation of self-efficacy and attributions in academic settings. In Self-regulation of learning and performance (pp. 75–99). Routledge.

14. Ullah, A., Shahzad, F., Ur Rehman, A., Naseer, M., & Akhtar, N. (2024). Analyzing the Students' Attitudes and Behavior towards Traditional Classes and Technology-Enhanced Online Learning. International Journal of Social Science Archives (IJSSA), 7(3).
15. Usman, M., Asif, M., Ullah, A., & Ullah, W. (2024). User's Habits and Attitudes towards Chinese Books Reading in Pakistan. Inverge Journal of Social Sciences, 3(2), 11–28. https://doi.org/10.63544/ijss.v3i2.81
16. Tillema, H. H., & Kremer-Hayon, L. (2002). Practising what we preach: Teacher educators' dilemmas in promoting self-regulated learning—A cross-case comparison. Teaching and Teacher Education, 18(5), 593–607. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00018-5
17. Thomas, V., Muls, J., De Backer, F., & Lombaerts, K. (2021). Exploring self-regulated learning during middle school: Views of parents and students on parents' educational support at home. Journal of Family Studies, 27(2), 261–279. https://doi.org/10.1080/13229400.2018.1562359
18. Xiao, Y., & Yang, M. (2019). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: How formative assessment supports students' self-regulation in English language learning. System, 81, 39–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2019.01.004
19. Zeidner, M., & Stoeger, H. (2019). Self-Regulated Learning (SRL): A guide for the perplexed. High Ability Studies, 30(1–2), 9–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/13598139.2019.1589369