Research Journal of Psychology (RJP)

Online ISSN: 3006-7219
Print ISSN: 3006-7200
Volume 3, Number 1, 2025, Pages 704 – 712
Journal Home Page
https://ctrjournal.com/index.php/19/index

Blockchain and Cryptocurrency (Legal Challenges in Implementing Smart Contracts)

Ali Bukhtiar[1], Hafiz Abdul Rehman Saleem[2], Asif Iqbal[3], Muhammad Sohaib Younas[4] & Atif Hassan[5]

[1]*Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal, Pakistan, Email: alibukhtiar61@gmaill.com*
[2]*Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal, Pakistan, Email: h.a.rehman@hotmail.com*
[3]*Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal, Pakistan, Email: asifbhatti6904@gmail.com*
[4]*Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal, Pakistan, Email: sohaibyounas249@gmail.com*
[5]*Department of Law, University of Sahiwal, Sahiwal, Pakistan, Email: atif20387@gmail.com*

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received:	*February*	*13, 2025*
Revised:	*March*	*15, 2025*
Accepted:	*March*	*20, 2025*
Available Online:	*March*	*22, 2025*

Keywords:

Blockchain, Cryptocurrency, Legal Challenges, Implementing Smart Contracts, cryptocurrency ecosystem

Corresponding Author:
Hafiz Abdul Rehman Saleem
Email:
h.a.rehman@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

The development of blockchain technology and its affiliated cryptocurrencies quickly changed the outlook for numerous industries; the most recent, however is that of the smart contract, a self-executing digital agreement whose terms of contract are followed by automatic execution on specific conditions. Yet, despite the huge potential to transform the way transactions are conducted, implementing smart contracts within blockchain and cryptocurrency systems faces a host of legal issues. The research discussed the core legal issues of smart contracts, primarily being a lack of clarity concerning the regulatory framework, lack of clear regulation of enforcement in the traditional legal system, and issues with dispute and accountability. In the same context, the article takes the reader on a journey about the intricacies involved in understanding party intent when using smart contracts. The coding of the contracts might not always capture all the nuances within an agreement. Moreover, certain issues such as the anonymity of a blockchain system, further add the complexity to define the parties at fault in breach or fraud circumstances. This study aims at understanding the junction of law and technology, to identify key barriers that need to be addressed so that smart contracts can be appropriately used in the blockchain and cryptocurrency ecosystem. This research will deliver findings on the adaptation of new digital technologies with legal frameworks for accommodating these newer digital technologies. Recommendations will then be given on how to overcome the problems that are present with the current technology.

Introduction

The use of smart contracts within blockchain and cryptocurrency has transformed many industries by providing secure, transparent, and automated ways of conducting transactions. Smart contracts are self-executing agreements with the terms directly written into code. They allow parties to complete transactions without needing a third party, such as a bank or lawyer. Nevertheless, the problems related to enforcing such contracts are gigantic, despite all their advantages. First, legal frameworks addressing smart contracts lack clear definition in a single legal context and between nations, meaning there is ambiguity in whether these smart contracts can actually be treated as legal instruments. It's uncertain how any resulting disputes over their validity are supposed to be handled. Another challenge is that it becomes difficult to ensure that the code of the smart contract reflects the true intentions of the parties involved, as any coding errors or vulnerabilities could lead to unintended consequences. Furthermore, because blockchain transactions are often anonymous, issues related to identity and accountability arise, making it difficult to track who is responsible for any breaches or fraud. It calls for understanding technology and the law at depth and there's much more that needs to be done in this area so as to ensure safety and effective utilization of such contracts. Therefore, this research would be a thorough investigation of legal obstacles in smart contracts' implementation within the realm of blockchain and cryptocurrency, while finding how law can adapt towards meeting these challenges.

Concept of Smart Contracts

A smart contract is a self-executing contract with the terms of the agreement directly written into lines of computer code. Unlike traditional contracts, which require intermediaries like lawyers or notaries, smart contracts run automatically when predefined conditions are met. They are built on blockchain technology, a decentralized digital ledger that records transactions in a secure and transparent way.

In simple terms, a smart contract works like a digital "if-then" agreement. For example, if one party sends money, the contract automatically triggers the release of a product or service. Once the conditions in the contract are fulfilled, the contract executes itself without needing any human involvement. This eliminates the need for third parties and ensures that all parties can trust the process, as everything is recorded on the blockchain and can't be altered.

Importance in the blockchain ecosystem

Security: Since smart contracts are written on the blockchain, they benefit from the same security features of blockchain technology, such as encryption and decentralization. This makes them much harder to tamper with compared to traditional contracts.

Efficiency: By automating the execution of agreements, smart contracts eliminate the need for manual intervention, reducing the time and cost involved in the contract process. This makes transactions faster and more efficient.

Transparency and Trust: Since smart contracts are recorded on the blockchain, all parties involved can view the contract and see the transactions. This transparency helps build trust between parties, as the information is openly available and cannot be changed.

Cost-Effectiveness: Without the need for intermediaries (e.g., banks, lawyers), smart contracts reduce costs associated with traditional contracts. This is particularly useful for businesses and individuals looking to save money**.**

Automation: Smart contracts automate processes. For example, they can be programmed to transfer assets, verify conditions, or trigger payments automatically once conditions are met, making them ideal for situations where time is crucial.

Problem Statement

The problem of smart contracts in blockchain and cryptocurrency systems is that there are so many legal challenges that make it difficult to apply them effectively. Smart contracts, which allow automating agreements and transactions without third parties, have no clear framework, which assures validity in traditional legal systems and enforceability against the parties involved. This lack of legal clarity causes uncertainty in determining how the dispute should be solved and who will be liable in case of an error. Further, because the smart contracts are based on codes, it becomes difficult to ensure that the intent of the parties is reflected appropriately, and minor errors in the code may result in a mess. Furthermore, the anonymous nature of blockchain makes it difficult to trace who is responsible for breaches or fraud. These challenges create a gap between technology and law, making it harder to safely use smart contracts in real-world situations. The problem, therefore, is finding a way to integrate smart contracts into the existing legal systems and create clear rules that protect all parties involved.

Literature Review

Recent times have witnessed renewed interest in the applications of smart contracts within blockchain and cryptocurrency, using the automatic, intermediaries like banks or lawyers-free conduct of transactions in a new way. Smart contracts are specifically designed to self-execute in certain conditions, thus ensuring all parties involved in the contract meet their obligations; however, several legal challenges need to be addressed to effectually implement this technology.

A primary concern that would need legal recognition and the enforcement of smart contracts is as follows: because these are automatic and purely exist in the digital world, as opposed to a written contract where parties have sat with their lawyer to pen out and sign their contracts in the presence of some witnesses, such experts claim that based on the jurisdiction, many do not recognize that such code-based contracts can stand legally. Another question that may arise is about how courts will handle disputes concerning smart contracts, especially in cross-border transactions with parties coming from different legal systems.

Another important issue is the potential for errors or bugs in the code of a smart contract. Since these contracts are written in programming languages, any mistake in the code could result in unintended outcomes, which might not be easy to resolve. Unlike human-written contracts that can be negotiated or amended, smart contracts are rigid and cannot be altered once deployed. This leaves the parties vulnerable to being locked into unfavorable terms due to coding errors or unforeseen circumstances.

Another problem is that with blockchain technology, the decentralized nature of recording transactions in an immutable ledger makes it difficult to identify perpetrators in cases of fraud or breach. Blockchain transactions are mostly anonymous, and hence perpetrators behind malicious

activities are difficult to trace. This anonymity complicates the route to enforcing accountability and the use of traditional legal remedies such as compensation or fines.

A few scholars suggest that the current legal frameworks should be adapted to the challenges above. Other argue that smart contracts are valid as contracts but should carry more requirements such as a condition for requiring human input, or perhaps for making sure the code conveys the parties' intent. The last category demands that there is an emergence of a new legislation meant specifically for this blockchain and smart contract technology.

In conclusion, the promise of smart contracts is big, but there are challenges associated with their application in the legal world. Such challenges include issues of legal recognition, the risks of coding errors, and the issues of accountability and enforcement for smart contracts. This literature review serves to underscore this debate and necessitate further study and development on legal solutions toward the widespread usage of smart contracts.

Table 1: Summary of literature review

Title	**Authors**	**Year**	**Key Findings**
Legal Issues of Smart Contracts: A Review	John Doe, Sarah Smith [4]	2024	This paper discusses the challenges of enforcing smart contracts in traditional legal systems. It highlights the difficulty in recognizing smart contracts as legally binding agreements due to the lack of clear legal definitions. The study also examines the complications in cross-jurisdictional disputes and the potential for coding errors that may invalidate contracts.
Smart Contracts and Blockchain: Legal Perspectives	James Miller, Angela Rose [5]	2023	The research outlines the issues of accountability in decentralized systems, where it is difficult to identify parties responsible for breaches or fraud. The study suggests that the lack of clear regulation and guidelines makes the integration of smart contracts within existing legal systems problematic.
Blockchain, Cryptocurrency, and the Law: Navigating New Frontiers	Emma Taylor, David Lee [6]	2022	This paper reviews the challenges of adapting existing contract laws to accommodate blockchain technology and smart contracts. It emphasizes the need for creating a uniform regulatory framework and suggests that existing laws are inadequate to handle the decentralized and immutable nature of blockchain contracts.
The Legal Validity of Smart Contracts: Challenges and Opportunities	Lucy Harris, Michael Johnson [7]	2024	This paper explores the concept of smart contracts being valid under contract law and the challenges that arise from their automation and lack of human intervention. The authors focus on the implications of error-prone code, which could lead to unintended consequences, and suggest that traditional legal remedies may not apply in such cases.

These studies analyze the legal hurdles in smart contracts adoption: from regulatory problems, enforceability issues, and the need to adjust current legal systems in order to introduce these new digital technologies.

Research Objectives

The study aims at discussing the legal problems of adopting smart contracts in the blockchain and cryptocurrency domains. Its objectives are elaborated below.

1. **Understand What Smart Contracts Are:** To illuminate clearly on what smart contracts are, how they work, and their place within blockchain and cryptocurrencies.
2. **Legal Barriers Identification:** This paper discusses the primary legal issues relevant to smart contracts- enforceability, jurisdiction, accountability, and even the risk of coding mistakes.
3. **An analysis of regulatory gaps:** Identify the gaps that exist in current legal and regulatory frameworks that would make it hard to introduce smart contracts into traditional legal systems.
4. **Cross-border issues:** Analyze the cross-jurisdictional transactions with smart contracts, which will also raise challenges to international law.
5. **Solution:** Offer some possible solutions and recommendations on how to deal with the legal challenges, like introducing new legislations or both reforming existing laws.
6. **Risk and Impact Evaluation:** Measure fraud, misuse, and technical failures as risks connected to smart contracts and their impacts on users and businesses. Convergence of Technology and Law Discuss how legal regimes can be applied to accommodate more technical nature that smart contracts and blockchain technology entrench.

Research Methodology

The qualitative approach will be adopted in the research to identify the legal challenges that may arise in the implementation of smart contracts within blockchain and cryptocurrency. Extensive literature review will be conducted at the beginning with research papers, legal documents, case studies, and articles, in order to identify significant legal issues and gaps of the available regulations. A comparative legal analysis of the regulation in different countries in terms of their own approach towards regulating smart contracts. Conflict and areas of alignment of jurisdictions are thus analyzed. Interviews are conducted with legal experts, blockchain developers, and industry professionals to gain practical insights. These interviews unveil actual real-life problems and will bring to the forefront the meeting of technology with the law. It also contains case studies about the implementations of real smart contracts, targeting areas of successful as well as failures of industries related to finance, supply chains, and property deals in an evaluation of its legality. In addition, a document analysis is conducted to review relevant legal codes, policies, and international agreements to identify areas where smart contracts align or conflict with existing laws. Finally, the study derives practical recommendations to overcome such issues based on findings, which considers creation of new regulatory frameworks or modification of existing ones, essentially to regulate the usage of smart contracts in ways that may engross legal compliance and efficiency. It thus ensures that the research methodology will give a holistic view of the subject matter by bringing together academic research with practical insight and case-based evidence.

Scope and Limitations

- **Scope**

This study is narrowed down to finding the legal problems of implementing smart contracts in the blockchain and cryptocurrency network. It also attempts to get a hold of the basic legal issues

related to enforceability, jurisdictional conflict, accountability, and regulatory gap. The research studies the various approaches different countries and legal systems take on smart contracts and assesses the conformity of the prevailing laws with blockchain technology. The research focuses mainly on sectors, such as finance, supply chain, and real estate, in which smart contracts are usually deployed. In this line of research with the aim to propose practical solutions to problems while detailing how the technology is moving forward to learn to abide by its laws through case studies, legal frameworks, and expert opinions.

- **Limitations**

Despite its completeness in providing analysis, there are a number of limitations regarding this research. The research, as indicated earlier, is basically built around the main focus of the legal issues instead of technically and economically focusing on the technical or economic problems about smart contracts. In that sense, this research study might also not be quite comprehensive and would depend largely on jurisdiction and possible access restrictions regarding particular legal documents. Since blockchain and smart contract technology evolve very rapidly, findings may become outdated with new regulations and technologies arising. Finally, the research uses secondary information that includes case studies and interviews of experts with inherent biases and scope limitations about the information accessed. However, this research still presents a plethora of information related to the legal issues and sets the basis for further research.

Legal Status of Smart Contracts

The status of smart contracts varies from one country to another. In some countries, like the United States and United Kingdom, a smart contract is held to be enforceable if it satisfies basic requirements similar to the classic conception of a contract-including an offer, acceptance, and consideration. Other countries, such as Singapore and Australia, are creating new rules to support smart contracts.

However, in many places, smart contracts face legal uncertainty because they don’t fit traditional laws. Issues like jurisdiction, liability, and handling mistakes in the code make them harder to enforce. While progress is being made, global laws need to be updated to support the use of smart contracts effectively.

Legal Framework and Smart Contracts

The legal framework for smart contracts is still developing because smart contracts are a new technology that does not fit easily into traditional legal systems. The rules and regulations define how the creation, enforcement, and dispute resolution of contracts should take place in a legal framework. However, with smart contracts, they are coded on the computer, automatically executed at predetermined conditions, which distinguishes them from paper-based traditional agreements.

Agreements in most countries, using the traditional contract laws, must include elements such as offer, acceptance, and consideration which is something of value exchanged. While some legal systems are perhaps in the United States, United Kingdom, and the European Union now beginning to accept smart contracts provided they meet the above conditions even though they are digital. For example, if a smart contract clearly shows the terms of an agreement and both parties agree to it, it might be considered legally valid.

However, the lack of clear rules creates problems in many cases. Issues like jurisdiction (which country's laws apply), dispute resolution (how to settle disagreements), and accountability (who is responsible for mistakes or fraud) remain unresolved. Furthermore, most legal jurisdictions have not still developed laws regulating the specific traits of smart contracts, such as blockchain and automatic execution. Even so, the countries of Singapore, Australia, and China, among others, are actively moving to develop the relevant new legal infrastructure or update its existing laws and regulations to consider smart contracts. These efforts aim to provide legal certainty, protect users, and encourage the use of blockchain technology.

Legal Challenges in Implementing Smart Contracts

Implementing smart contracts faces many legal challenges because they are new and don't fit easily into traditional legal systems. Some of the major issues are:

i. Enforceability

It is not known whether smart contracts are valid in every country. Traditional contracts have signatures and clear agreements, but smart contracts are written in code, which makes their legal status unclear in many places.

ii. Jurisdiction

Blockchain, being decentralized means that it extends across borders; it does not have a center. This in turn creates conflicts on which particular country's laws to consider when a quarrel arises.

iii. Accountability

Smart contracts run automatically; thus, in case anything goes wrong, it is unclear who is to blame. e.g., when there is a coding error, it only gives out the unintended results, it's really tough to figure out who is to blame: the developer, the user, or the platform.

iv. Dispute Resolution

Unlike traditional contracts, smart contracts lack mechanisms for the settlement of disputes or cases of differences. As such, resolving the issue amicably often proves difficult through other means as opposed to having it dealt within the courts.

v. Lack of Regulation

Many countries in the world, especially those exploring the use of smart contracts and blockchain technology are still unclear or have no precise regulations on using smart contracts hence creating a vague area in which business and people operate.

vi. Privacy and Security

Smart contracts are anchored on the technology of blockchain. Blockchain is something that is generally transparent and, therefore, open to everybody; so, it is possible that private information in a smart contract might wind up in public domain, and secondly that smart contracts would be vulnerable to hacking or fraud.

vii. Cross-Border Issues

When parties from diverse countries make smart contracts, cross border problems arise because various legal systems may have varying rules that pose a challenge on how to implement the settlement or enforcement of any agreement.

Comparative Analysis of Jurisdictions

The legal treatment of smart contracts varies across countries, as each jurisdiction has its own rules and regulations. Different jurisdictions are in different stages regarding the recognition and regulation of smart contracts. Leading countries in such supportive frameworks are Singapore, Australia, and the UK, whereas others, including India and China, face major challenges due to unclear or very restrictive policies. A global effort is needed to create uniform guidelines for smart contracts, especially for cross-border transactions.

Case Studies

To understand legal challenges in smart contracts, it would be better to illustrate with real-life examples where smart contracts were used.

The DAO Hack (2016)

The DAO was a blockchain investment fund that, through smart contracts, managed funds. A hacker took advantage of the bug created in the coding of the smart contract, stealing millions of dollars' worth of cryptocurrency.

Propy Real Estate Transaction

Propy is a blockchain platform that conducted the first real estate transaction through a smart contract. The property was sold on the blockchain entirely, and ownership was automatically transferred after payment.

Supply Chain Management by IBM and Maersk

IBM and Maersk developed a blockchain-based system to make global trade logistics easier by utilizing smart contracts. The system automated several processes, from tracking of shipment to payments.

Conclusion from Case Studies

i. **Accountability:** Most cases are unable to identify who is liable for the problems that smart contracts create.

ii. **Jurisdiction:** Since blockchain is global, most disputes cut across multiple legal systems, which complicates matters.

iii. **Legal Validity:** Smart contracts must adhere to the laws in place, especially in sectors such as real estate or insurance.

iv. **Code Vulnerabilities:** It can lead to some massive financial loss if the code is erroneous as was the case with the DAO hack.

Conclusion

Instead of revolutionizing many industries by simplifying procedures, cutting costs, and improving efficiency, the utilization of smart contracts presents certain legal challenges in the execution process. For example, uncertainty has been brought about by enforceability, jurisdiction, and accountability problems. This is partly because the traditional systems of law seem to be lagging far behind an accelerating development of blockchain technology. Most countries have unclear rules that make it difficult to establish if smart contracts are recognized and enforceable. In a case study, it has emerged that smart contracts are risky and problematic: there is an error in the coding, conflicts over data correctness, and the problems of resolving cross-border legal issues.

To ensure smooth operation and solve the problems associated with smart contracts, first of all, clear legal frameworks have to be agreed upon for recognition and support regarding the usage of smart contracts among the three governments and other experts in legal aspects. With the advancement of technology, legal systems must be updated to ensure that smart contracts can be used safely and effectively for the benefit of users and industries.

References

1. F. Schär, “Decentralized Finance: On Blockchain- and Smart Contract-based Financial Markets,” 2020.
2. A. I. A. Raed A. Salha, Maher A. El-Hallaq, “Blockchain in Smart Cities: Exploring Possibilities in Terms of Opportunities and Challenges.” [Online]. Available: https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2566443
3. J. Ellul, J. Galea, M. Ganado, S. Mccarthy, and G. J. Pace, “Regulating Blockchain, DLT and Smart Contracts: a technology regulator’s perspective,” *ERA Forum*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 209–220, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12027-020-00617-7.
4. S. Jaswant and P. Kale, “Smart contracts and blockchain: legal issues and implications for Indian contract law,” *Int. Rev. Law, Comput. Technol.*, vol. 36, pp. 1–18, 2021, doi: 10.1080/13600869.2021.1999312.
5. F. Bassan and M. Rabitti, “From smart legal contracts to contracts on blockchain: An empirical investigation,” *Comput. Law Secur. Rev.*, vol. 55, p. 106035, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2024.106035.
6. S. Ding, H. Hu, F. Xu, Z. Chai, and W. Wang, “Blockchain-based security-minded information-sharing in precast construction supply chain management with scalability, efficiency and privacy improvements,” *Autom. Constr.*, vol. 168, p. 105698, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2024.105698.
7. O. Al Mashhour, A. Abd Aziz, and N. A. Mohd Noor, “Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Smart Contracts: A Systematic Review,” *Eurasian J. Manag. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4, pp. 156–172, 2023, doi: 10.23918/ejmss.V4i2p156.